THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

AMANDA RUGG-HARRELL, *et al.*, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, *Plaintiffs*, v. TREEHOUSE FOODS, INC., *Defendant.*	)	Civil Action No. 1:24-cv-10992 Hon. Sharon Johnson Coleman

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Sharon Johnson Coleman, United States District Judge:

Daniel Berbano, Michael Walsh, Michelle Garcia, Marla Knepper, Kristen Beckham, Tracy Foster, Damany Browne, and Amanda Rugg-Harrell (collectively "Plaintiffs"), filed their Motion Final Approval of Class Action Settlement on January 26, 2026 (the "Motion"). Having considered the Settlement Agreement (the "**Settlement Agreement**") (ECF No. 42-1); the Motion; memorandum of law; supporting declarations and exhibits; the lack of any opposition to the Motion; any and all timely objections to the Settlement; all arguments presented at any hearing of this matter; all relevant papers on file herein; and finding good cause appearing hereby ORDERS:

1. This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over this Litigation pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332 and 1367 and personal jurisdiction over the Parties.

2. The Court hereby affirms its determinations in the Preliminary Approval Order and finally certifies, for purposes of the Settlement only, pursuant to Rule 23(a) and (b)(3) of the

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Settlement Class.

3. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and for purposes of the Settlement only, the Court hereby re-affirms its determinations in the Preliminary Approval Order and finally certifies Daniel Berbano, Michael Walsh, Michelle Garcia, Marla Knepper, Kristen Beckham, Tracy Foster, Damany Browne, and Amanda Rugg-Harrell as Class Representatives for the Settlement Class; and finally appoints Reese LLP, Sultzer & Lipari, PLLC, Bryson Harris Suciu & Demay PLLC, Poulin Willey Anastapoulo, Levin Sedrin & Berman, Goldenberg Schneider, L.P.A., Leeds Brown Law, P.C., Carney Bates & Pulliam, PLLC, and Nick Larry Law, LLC (collectively, Class Counsel") as Class Counsel for the Settlement Class.

4. The Court finds that the publication of the Notice, Summary Notice, and Claim Form: (i) complied with the Preliminary Approval Order; (ii) constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances; (iii) constituted notice that was reasonably calculated to apprise Settlement Class Members of the effect of the Settlement, of the proposed Plan of Allocation, of Lead Counsel's request for an award of attorneys' fees and payment of Litigation Expenses incurred in connection with the prosecution of the Action, of Settlement Class Members' right to object or seek exclusion from the Settlement Class, and of their right to appear at the Settlement Hearing; (iv) constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all Persons entitled to receive notice of the proposed Settlement; and (v) satisfied the notice requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause).

5. There have been no objections to the Settlement or any of its terms. Class Member Stephanie Martin filed a "Motion to Compel Valid Acceptance of Claim" on December 29, 2025, asserting that her claim had been improperly rejected by the Claims Administrator, Angeion Group. (ECF No. 66). Ms. Martin's motion was a complaint regarding the claims administration

procedure, not an objection to the terms of the Settlement Agreement or the requested Attorneys' fees, and was filed after the deadline for objections set forth in the Settlement Agreement. Ms. Martin's claim has been processed and she has withdrawn her complaint.

6. Pursuant to Rule 23(e)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby approves the Settlement and finds that in light of the benefits to the Settlement Class, the complexity and expense of further litigation, the risks of establishing liability and damages, and the costs of continued litigation, said Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate, having considered and found that: (a) the named Plaintiffs and Class Counsel have adequately represented the Settlement Class; (b) the Settlement was negotiated at arm's-length between experienced counsel; (c) the relief provided for the Settlement Class is adequate, having taken into account (i) the costs, risks, and delay of trial and appeal; (ii) the effectiveness of any proposed method of distributing relief to the Settlement Class, including the method of processing Settlement Class Member claims; (iii) the terms of any proposed award of attorneys' fees, including timing of payment; and (iv) any agreement required to be identified under Rule 23(e)(3); and (d) the proposed plan of allocation treats Settlement Class Members equitably relative to each other. Accordingly, the Settlement is hereby approved in all respects (including, without limitation: the amount of the Settlement; the releases provided for in the Settlement Agreement; and the dismissal with prejudice of the claims asserted against Defendant) and shall be consummated in accordance with the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement.

7. Each Settlement Class Member who did not opt out, whether or not such Settlement Class Member executed and delivered a Claim Form, is bound by this Judgment, including, without limitation, the release of claims as set forth in the Settlement Agreement. With respect to the Released Claims, the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order is binding on, and shall have *res judicata* and preclusive effect, in all pending and future lawsuits or other proceedings

maintained by or on behalf of the Class Representatives or Settlement Class Members who did not opt out. All Settlement Class Members who did not opt out are permanently barred and enjoined from filing, commencing, prosecuting, intervening in, or participating (as class members or otherwise) in any lawsuit or other action in any jurisdiction based on the Released Claims.

8. If the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, then this Judgment shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and shall be vacated, and in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.

9. Without further order of the Court, the Parties may agree to reasonable extensions of time to carry out any of the provisions of the Settlement Agreement.

10. A separate order shall be entered regarding Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and payment of expenses as allowed by the Court. Such order shall not affect this Judgment and shall be considered separate from this Judgment. Such order shall not affect the finality of this Judgment or the Effective Date of the Settlement.

11. Without affecting the finality of the Settlement Approval Order and Final Judgment for purposes of appeal, this Court retains jurisdiction as to all matters relating to administration, consummation, enforcement, and interpretation of the Settlement Agreement and the Settlement Approval Order and Final Judgment, and for any other necessary purpose.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 13, 2026

HON. SHARON JOHNSON COLEMAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE