



FILED
San Francisco County Superior Court

AUG 27 2025

CLERK OF THE COURT

BY: Edward J. [Signature]
Deputy Clerk

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT 304

BRANDON JACK and JEAN ALDA,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RING LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. CGC-20-588258

ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL
OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

1 Plaintiffs Brandon Jack and Jean Alda's motion for final approval of class action
2 settlement (Final Approval Motion) and motion for incentive awards to named Plaintiffs came on
3 for hearing on July 11, 2025, and again on August 27, 2025. Having considered the papers filed
4 and proceedings had herein, and good cause appearing, the Court hereby ORDERS as follows:

5 1. Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meanings set forth in the
6 Settlement Agreement.¹

7 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter and has personal
8 jurisdiction over the Parties and the Settlement Class Members. Venue is proper in this Court.

9 3. The Court finds that the Settlement Agreement warrants final approval pursuant to
10 California law because, the Court finds, the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, and
11 adequate, and is in the best interest of the Settlement Class. Accordingly, Plaintiffs' Final
12 Approval Motion is hereby granted. The Parties and Settlement Administrator are directed to
13 consummate and implement the Settlement Agreement in accordance with its terms, including
14 distributing settlement payments to the Settlement Class Members and other disbursements from
15 the Settlement Consideration as provided by the Settlement Agreement, orders of the Court, and
16 the Judgment.

17 4. Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 382, the Court hereby finally certifies
18 the following Settlement Class for settlement purposes only.

19 All persons who purchased any of the below listed Ring products
20 (Applicable Products) during the applicable time periods specified below at
21 any physical or brick-and-mortar location or store in California:

22 Ring Video Doorbell 2, if purchased on or before 10/6/2020;
23 Ring Video Doorbell 3, if purchased on or before 10/15/2020;
24 Ring Video Doorbell 3 Plus, if purchased on or before 10/15/2020;
25 Ring Video Doorbell Pro Wired, if purchased on or before 10/30/2020;

26 ¹ "Settlement Agreement" collectively refers to: the Class Action Settlement Agreement attached
27 as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Stephen P. DeNittis filed on November 6, 2024; the
28 Amendment to the Class Action Settlement Agreement, attached as Exhibit B to the Declaration
of Stephen P. DeNittis filed on November 6, 2024; and the Second Amendment to the Class
Action Settlement Agreement, attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Stephen P. DeNittis
filed on January 10, 2025.

1 Ring Video Doorbell Elite, if purchased on or before 5/12/2021;
2 Floodlight Cam, if purchased on or before 11/24/2020; and/or
3 Peephole Cam, if purchased on or before 11/2/2020.

4 Excluded from the Settlement are any purchases by persons who had an
5 existing Ring Protect Plan that had been active for more than 30 days at the
6 time of purchasing an Applicable Product. Purchases of Applicable
7 Products online or in a brick-and-mortar store outside of California do not
8 qualify a person to be a member of the Settlement Class.

9 Excluded from the Settlement Class are any Judges presiding over this
10 Action and any members of their families, and Ring and affiliated entities
11 and individuals and their respective officers and directors.

12 Also excluded from the Settlement Class are those persons who submit a
13 timely and valid request for exclusion in accordance with the procedures
14 set forth in the Settlement Agreement and in the Court's Preliminary
15 Approval Order dated January 22, 2025.

16 5. For settlement purposes only, the Court finally appoints Plaintiffs Brandon Jack
17 and Jean Alda as Settlement Class Representatives.

18 6. For settlement purposes only, the Court finally appoints Stephen P. DeNittis,
19 Joseph A. Osefchen, Shane T. Prince, Daniel M. Hattis, and Paul Karl Lukacs as Settlement Class
20 Counsel.

21 7. The Court finds that the Notice program for disseminating notice to the Settlement
22 Class, provided for in the Settlement Agreement and previously approved and directed by the
23 Court, has been implemented by the Settlement Administrator and the Parties. The Court finds
24 that the Notice program, including the approved forms of notice: (a) constituted the best notice
25 practicable under the circumstances; (b) included direct individual notice to all Settlement Class
26 Members who could be so notified through reasonable efforts; (c) constituted notice that was
27 reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Settlement Class Members of this
28 Action and their rights to object to or opt out of the settlement; and (e) met all applicable
requirements of California law, due process under the U.S. Constitution, and any other applicable
law.

8. The Court hereby finds that all persons who fall within the definition of the
Settlement Class have been adequately provided with an opportunity to exclude themselves from

1 the Settlement Class by submitting a request for exclusion in conformance with the terms of the
2 Settlement Agreement and this Court's Preliminary Approval Order. One member of the
3 Settlement Class (Mr. Harvey Cloyd) requested to be excluded from the Settlement Class and is
4 hereby excluded. All other persons who fall within the definition of the Settlement Class are
5 Settlement Class Members and part of the Settlement Class and shall be bound by the Settlement
6 Agreement, this Final Approval Order, and the resulting Judgment.

7 9. The sole objection by J. Craig Williams has been fully considered by the Court
8 and is overruled for the reasons set forth in the Court's July 9, 2025 Order.

9 10. Pursuant to the Court's order on Plaintiffs' motion for an award of attorneys' fees
10 and costs, Settlement Class Counsel is to be paid \$71,154.00 in attorneys' fees and \$4,857.99 in
11 litigation costs in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

12 11. Plaintiffs Brandon Jack and Jean Alda are each awarded an incentive award of
13 \$500.00 each, totaling \$1,000.00. Plaintiffs' incentive awards are to be paid in accordance with
14 the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

15 12. Following the completion of the Claims Process, the total approved purchase count
16 is 23,718. At \$3.00 per purchase, the aggregate approved claim amount is \$71,154.00.
17 Individual payments to Settlement Class Members shall be made in accordance with the
18 Settlement Agreement.

19 13. Each of the participating Settlement Class Members and Releasing Parties shall,
20 by operation of this Final Approval Order and the Judgment, have fully, finally, and forever
21 released, relinquished, acquitted and discharged all Released Claims against all Released Parties
22 in accordance with Section IX of the Settlement Agreement (as amended by the Amendment to
23 the Class Action Settlement Agreement), the terms of which are incorporated herein by reference.
24 The terms of the Settlement Agreement shall have res judicata and other preclusive effects as to
25 the Released Claims as against the Releasing Parties.

26 14. All participating Settlement Class Members and Releasing Parties have
27 covenanted not to sue any Released Party with respect to any Released Claim and shall be
28

1 permanently barred and enjoined from instituting, commencing, prosecuting, continuing,
2 maintaining, or asserting, directly or indirectly, any Released Claim against any Released Party in
3 any judicial, administrative, arbitral or other forum. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in
4 this Final Approval Order or the Judgment shall preclude an action to enforce the terms of the
5 Settlement Agreement.

6 15. Without affecting the finality of this Final Approval Order and the Judgment in
7 any way, this Court retains jurisdiction over all proceedings related to the implementation,
8 interpretation, validity, administration, consummation, and enforcement of the terms of the
9 Settlement Agreement.

10 16. In the event that the Effective Date does not occur, this Final Approval Order shall
11 be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, nunc pro tunc, as set forth in the Court's
12 Preliminary Approval Order, except insofar as expressly provided to the contrary in the
13 Settlement Agreement, and without prejudice to the status quo ante rights of Plaintiffs, Settlement
14 Class Members, and Ring.


15 17. This Final Approval Order, the Judgment, the Preliminary Approval Order, the
16 Settlement Agreement, and all negotiations, statements, agreements, and proceedings relating to
17 the Settlement Agreement, or any matters arising in connection with settlement negotiations,
18 proceedings, or agreements shall not constitute, be described as, construed as, offered or received
19 against Ring or the other Released Parties as evidence or an admission of: (a) the truth of any fact
20 alleged by Plaintiffs in the Action; (b) that any person suffered compensable harm or is entitled to
21 any relief with respect to the matters asserted in this Action; (c) any liability, negligence, fault, or
22 wrongdoing by Ring or the Released Parties, including any of its affiliates, agents,
23 representatives, vendors, or any other person or entity acting on its behalf; (d) that this Action or
24 any other action was or may be properly certified as a class action for litigation, non-settlement
25 purposes; (e) the arbitrability of the Action as to Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Members; or (f)
26 the enforceability of any applicable contractual or statutory limitations period to limit any relief.

27 18. A status conference is set for March 30, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. A joint status report,
28

1 accompanied by an admissible evidentiary declaration, shall be filed no later than five court days
2 prior to the status conference. The status report must state: (1) the date payments were tendered
3 to participating Settlement Class Members; (2) the number of checks issued; (3) the number of
4 checks cashed; (4) the number of uncashed checks; and (5) the total amount of residual funds
5 available for distribution to the designated *cy pres* beneficiary, California Consumer Rights
6 Association.

7
8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Dated: August 27, 2025

10 
11 Ethan P. Schulman
12 Judge of the Superior Court
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE
(CCP 1010.6, and CRC 2.251)

I, Edward Santos, a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, certify that I am not a party to the within action.

On August 27, 2025, I electronically served:

ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

via File & ServeXpress on the recipients designated on the Transaction Receipt located on the File & ServeXpress website.

Date: *AUG 27 2025*

Brandon E. Riley, Court Executive Officer

By: *Edward J. Santos*

Edward Santos, Deputy Clerk