E-Served: Dec 6 2023 11:23AM PST Via Case Anywhere

1	AHDOOT & WOLFSON PC			
2	Tina Wolfson (SBN 174806) E-mail: aw@ahdootwolfson.com			
3	Theodore Maya (SBN 223242) E-mail: tmaya@ahdootwolfson.com			
4	2600 West Olive Ave., Suite 500 Burbank, CA 91505			
5	(310) 474-9111 Telephone (310) 474-8585 Facsimile			
6	ZIMMERMAN REED LLP			
7	Caleb Marker (SBN 269721) E-mail: caleb.marker@zimmreed.com			
8	6420 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 1080 Los Angeles, CA 90048			
9	(877) 500-8780 Telephone (877) 500-8781 Facsimile			
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class			
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
12	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES			
13	HEATH SELTZER, individually and on behalf	CASE NO.: 18STC	V07828 (Lead)	
14	of all others similarly situated,	Consolidated with N		
15	Plaintiff,	Assigned for all pur Honorable Stuart M		
16	V.	[PROPOSED] ORI	DER GRANTING MOTION	
17	GEOFFREY H. PALMER; GEOFFREY H. PALMER dba G.H. PALMER ASSOCIATES;	FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT		
18	GHP MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, a California corporation; and DOES 1-50,			
19	inclusive	Date: Time:	December 28, 2023 10:30 A.M.	
20	Defendants.	Department:	1	
21		Date Action Filed: Trial Date:	December 10, 2018 TBD	
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28		1		
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL			

This matter came before the Court as Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of a Class Action Settlement ("Motion") on December 28, 2023, in Department 1 of the Superior Court of California for the County of Los Angeles, the Honorable Stuart M. Rice presiding.

Appearing for Plaintiff Heath Seltzer ("Plaintiff") were Caleb Marker of Zimmerman Reed LLP; Theodore Maya of Ahdoot & Wolfson, PC.

Appearing for Defendants Geoffrey H. Palmer and GHP Management Corporation ("Defendants") was Jason Haas of Ervin Cohen & Jessup LLP.

Plaintiff and Defendants are referred to hereinafter collectively as "the Parties."

Unless otherwise defined herein, all capitalized words and terms contained in this Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Final Order") shall have the same meanings set forth in the Class Action Settlement Agreement and Stipulation filed on December 1, 2022, including the amendment thereto also filed on December 1, 2022 (collectively, the "Settlement Agreement").

On December 5, 2022, an Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order") was entered by this Court, preliminarily approving the proposed settlement of this action pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement and directing that notice be given to the members of the Class.

Pursuant to the notice plan, the Class was notified of the terms of the proposed settlement and of a Final Approval Hearing (at 10:30 A.M. on May 22, 2023) to determine (1) whether the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement are fair, reasonable, and adequate for the Release of the Released Claims against the Released Parties; (2) whether the Final Order and Final Judgment should be entered; (3) whether the Court should approve the provisions of the Settlement Agreement with respect to the Service Awards; and (4) whether the Court should grant Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses.

A Final Approval Hearing was held on May 22, 2023, continued to August 10, 2023, and then continued again to December 28, 2023, to allow for supplemental notice. Prior to the December 28 Final Approval Hearing, proof of completion of the notice plan was filed with the Court, along with declarations of compliance as prescribed in the Preliminary Approval Order. Class Members were therefore notified of their right to appear at the hearing in support of or in opposition to the proposed

settlement, the award of attorneys' fees and expenses to Class Counsel, and the payment of service awards.

The Court, (i) having heard and considered the oral presentations made at the Final Approval Hearing (including any materials and documents presented to the Court therein), (ii) having reviewed and considered the Settlement Agreement, the Motion, the Fee and Service Award Motion, and supporting papers and declarations, including the pleadings filed in support of the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement and declarations and supplements thereto, and (iii) having determined that the settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable, and good cause appearing thereon, makes the following findings and determinations, which are consistent with the Court's written ruling dated December 5, 2022.

It is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that:

- 1. The Court, for purposes of this Final Order, adopts all defined terms as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. The Court, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and Rule 3.769(e) and (d) of the California Rules of Court, finally orders that the Settlement Class constitutes:

All tenants of Defendants' properties in the State of California from December 10, 2014, to May 16, 2022 who were signatories to a lease at the time one or more Late Fees were paid as the result of untimely rent payments for their unit.

 $(SA \P 4).$

- 3. Plaintiff Heath Seltzer fairly and adequately represented the Class Members and is the Class Representative so appointed by this Court's November 20, 2020, Order.
- 4. Caleb Marker of Zimmerman Reed LLP; Theodore Maya of Ahdoot & Wolfson, PC fairly and adequately represented the Class Members and are Class Counsel so appointed by this Court's November 20, 2020, Order.
- 5. With respect to the Settlement Class, the Court finds that: (a) the members of the Settlement Class are so numerous that their joinder is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class which predominate over any individual questions; (c) the claims of the Class Representative are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class; and (d) for purposes of

settlement, a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy considering: (i) the interest of the Settlement Class in individually controlling the prosecution of the separate actions, (ii) the extent and nature of any litigation concerning the controversy already commenced by the Settlement Class, (iii) the desirability or understandability of concentrating the litigation of these claims in the particular forum, and (iv) the difficulties likely to be encountered in the management of the action.

- 6. Class Notice to the Settlement Class was provided in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order and with the Court's order of August 10, 2023, requiring supplemental notice. This notice satisfied the requirements of due process, California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and Rule 3.766 of the California Rules of Court and (a) provided the best notice practicable, and (b) was reasonably calculated under the circumstances to apprise Settlement Class Members of the pendency of the Action, the terms of the Settlement, their right to appear at the Fairness Hearing, their right to object to the Settlement, and their right to exclude themselves from the Settlement.
- 7. The Settlement Agreement was arrived at following serious, informed, adversarial, and arm's length negotiations conducted in good faith by counsel for the parties facilitated by an experienced mediator and is supported by the majority of the members of the Settlement Class.
- 8. The Settlement, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, is in all respects fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of the Settlement Class, and it is approved. The Parties shall effectuate the Settlement Agreement according to its terms. The Settlement Agreement shall be deemed incorporated herein as if explicitly set forth and shall have the full force of an Order of this Court.
- 9. Upon the Effective Date of the Final Judgment, Plaintiff and each Settlement Class Member, on behalf of themselves and any other legal or natural persons who may claim by, through or under them, agree to fully, finally and forever release, relinquish, acquit, discharge and hold harmless the Released Parties from any claims that were asserted, or that could reasonably have been asserted in the Action (based upon and/or arising out of the facts alleged in the Complaint), against the Release Parties, and that arise out of, or relate in any way to any or all of the acts, omissions, facts, matters,

27

28

transactions, or occurrences that were alleged in the Action (based upon and/or arising out of the facts alleged in the Complaint).

- 10. Settlement Class Members, including the Settlement Class Representative, and the successors, assigns, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates or agents of any of them, are hereby permanently barred and enjoined from instituting, commencing or prosecuting, either directly or in any other capacity, any Released Claim against any of the Released Parties.
- 11. This Final Order, the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement which it reflects, and any and all acts, statements, documents or proceedings relating to the Settlement are not, and shall not be construed as, or used as an admission by or against Defendants or any other Released Party of any fault, wrongdoing, or liability on their part, or the validity of any Released Claim or the existence or amount of damages.
- 12. Any Residual Funds, as defined in the Settlement, shall be distributed to the California State Controller's Office for the Unclaimed Funds in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.
- 13. For the reasons set forth in their application for attorney's fees and Supplemental Declaration of Caleb Marker dated June 15, 2023, the Court hereby awards Class Counsel attorney's fees in the amount of \$532,532.56, and reimbursement of expenses in the amount of \$50,800.77, for a total of \$583,333.33. For the reasons set forth in the Class Representative's Request for Service Awards, the Court hereby awards the Class Representative \$5,000.00 as a service award. The foregoing sums shall be paid from the Settlement Fund in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. This Order does not constitute an expression by the Court of any opinion, position, or determination as to the merit or lack of merit of any of the claims or defenses of Plaintiff or Defendants. This Order is not an admission or indication by Defendants of the validity of any claims in this action or of any liability or wrongdoing or of any violation of law.
- 15. Plaintiff and the Settlement Class, on the one hand, and Defendants, on the other, shall take nothing further from the other side except as expressly set forth in the Settlement Agreement and this Final Order and Final Judgment.
 - 16. The Parties are authorized to implement the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

1	17. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6 and Rule 3.769(h) of the				
2	California Rules of Court, the Court reserves exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over this Action, the				
3	Plaintiff, the Class Members, and Defendants for purposes of administrating, consummating, enforcing,				
4	and interpreting the Settlement Agreement, the Final Order, and the Final Judgment, and for any other				
5	necessary purpose, and to issue related orders necessary to effectuate the final approval of the Settlemen				
6	Agreement.				
7	18. The Settlement Administrator shall post the Final Order and Final Judgment on the				
8	settlement website www.GHPLateFeeSettlement.com, forthwith.				
9	19.	The Court sets a compliance hearing for _	in Department of this Court. At		
10	least five court days before the hearing, Class Counsel and the Settlement Administrator shall submit				
11	summary accounting of the Settlement Fund identifying distributions made as ordered herein, the status				
12	of any unresolved issues, and any other matters appropriate to bring to the Court's attention.				
13	20. No objections to the Settlement or to the application by Class Counsel for attorneys' fee				
14	and reimbursement of expenses have been received.				
15	21. No requests for exclusion have been received from Settlement Class Members.				
16	22. The Court approves the Administration Expenses associated with the Settlemen				
17	estimated at \$	135,511.48.			
18					
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
20					
21	Date:		Honorable Stuart M. Rice		
22			Judge of the Superior Court		
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28		6			
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL				