E-Served: Dec 6 2023 11:23AM PST Via Case Anywhere

1	AHDOOT & WOLFSON PC	
2	Tina Wolfson (SBN 174806) E-mail: aw@ahdootwolfson.com Theodore Maya (SBN 223242) E-mail: tmaya@ahdootwolfson.com 2600 West Olive Ave., Suite 500 Burbank, CA 91505	
3		
4		
5	(310) 474-9111 Telephone (310) 474-8585 Facsimile	
6	ZIMMERMAN REED LLP Caleb Marker (SBN 269721)	
7	E-mail: caleb.marker@zimmreed.com 6420 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 1080	
8	Los Angeles, CA 90048	
9	(877) 500-8780 Telephone (877) 500-8781 Facsimile	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class	
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
13	HEATH SELTZER, individually and on behalf	CASE NO.: 18STCV07828 (Lead)
14	of all others similarly situated,	Consolidated with No.: 20STCV22701
15	Plaintiff,	Assigned for all purposes to the Honorable Stuart M. Rice
16	V.	
17	GEOFFREY H. PALMER; GEOFFREY H. PALMER dba G.H. PALMER ASSOCIATES;	[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT
18		
	GHP MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, a California corporation: and DOES 1-50.	
	GHP MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, a California corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive	
19	California corporation; and DOES 1-50,	
19 20 21	California corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive	
19 20 21	California corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive	
19 20	California corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive	
19 20 21 22	California corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive	
19 20 21 22 23	California corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive	
19 20 21 22 23 24	California corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	California corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	California corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive	

1 [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT WHEREAS, this matter came before the Court on December 28, 2023 in Department 1 of the Superior Court of California for the County of Los Angeles, the Honorable Stuart M. Rice presiding ("Final Hearing"), in accordance with the (i) Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement entered by this Court on December 5, 2022, and (ii) Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement seeking final approval of the settlement set forth in the Class Action Settlement Agreement and Stipulation filed on October 28, 2022 (the "Settlement");

WHEREAS, the Court, having considered all papers filed in this action and oral arguments of counsel in this action and those persons appearing at the Final Hearing, and otherwise being fully informed, and good cause appearing thereon;

WHEREAS, on December 28, 2023, this Court gave final approval to the class settlement and entered its Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement; and

WHEREAS, unless otherwise defined herein, all capitalized words and terms contained in this Final Judgment shall have the same meanings set forth in the Class Action Settlement Agreement and Stipulation filed on December 1, 2022, including the amendment thereto also filed on December 1, 2022 (collectively, the "Settlement Agreement")Settlement.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action, this litigation, and all Parties to the Action, including all Settlement Class Members.
- 2. The Court, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and Rule 3.769(e) and (d) of the California Rules of Court, orders that the Settlement Class constitutes:

All tenants of Defendants' properties in the State of California from December 10, 2014, to May 16, 2022 who were signatories to a lease at the time one or more Late Fees were paid as the result of untimely rent payments for their unit.

- 3. No objections to the Settlement or to the application by Class Counsel for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses have been received.
 - 4. No requests for exclusion have been received from Settlement Class Members.
- 5. This Court hereby enters judgment in accordance with, and subject to, the terms set forth in the Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, and the Representative Plaintiff and

the Class Members shall take nothing except as provided in the Settlement Agreement and the Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement.

- 6. Plaintiff and Settlement Class Representative Heath Seltzer fairly and adequately represented the Class Members.
- 7. Class Counsel (Caleb Marker of Zimmerman Reed LLP and Theodore Maya of Ahdoot & Wolfson, PC) fairly and adequately represented the Settlement Class Members.
- 8. The Parties shall take all steps necessary and appropriate to provide Class Members with the benefits to which they are entitled under the terms of the Settlement and pursuant to the Orders of the court.
- 9. Plaintiff and Settlement Class Representative Heath Seltzer shall be awarded \$5,000.00 as a service award in his capacity as a representative Plaintiff in the Action. Such funds shall be paid from the Settlement Fund.
- 10. Class Counsel shall be awarded \$583,333.33 in attorneys' fees and expenses, which amounts are approved as fair and reasonable, in accordance with the terms of the Settlement. Such sums shall be paid from the Settlement Fund.
- 11. The Court hereby approves the Settlement and finds that it is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class.
- 12. Upon the Effective Date of this Final Judgment, Plaintiff and each Settlement Class Member, on behalf of themselves and any other legal or natural persons who may claim by, through or under them, agree to fully, finally and forever release, relinquish, acquit, discharge and hold harmless the Released Parties from any claims that were asserted, or that could reasonably have been asserted in the Action (based upon and/or arising out of the facts alleged in the Complaint), against the Release Parties, and that arise out of, or relate in any way to any or all of the acts, omissions, facts, matters, transactions, or occurrences that were alleged in the Action (based upon and/or arising out of the facts alleged in the Complaint).
- 13. The Class Notice disseminated pursuant to the Notice Plan and by Order of this Court was the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The Class Notice provided due and adequate notice of those proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed Settlement, to

1	all Persons entitled to such notice, and the Class Notice fully satisfied the requirements of California	
2	law and the requirements of due process.	
3	14. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6,	
4	and Rule 3.769(h) of the California Rules of Court, this Court retains jurisdiction over the parties to	
5	enforce the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action	
6	Settlement, and this Final Judgment.	
7	15. This document shall constitute a judgment for purposes of California Rule of Court	
8	3.769(h). The Clerk is directed to enter this Final Judgment forthwith.	
9		
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
11		
12	Date: Honorable Stuart M. Rice	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
2122		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	1	