# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

In Re: LIQUID ALUMINUM SULFATE ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Civil Action No. 16-md-2687 (JLL) (JAD)

DECLARATION OF GARRETT BLANCHFIELD, JR IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND EXPENSES

Garrett Blanchfield, Jr, ESQ. declares as follows:

- 1. I am a Partner with the law firm of Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield, counsel for the City of Grand Marais in the above matter. In such capacity, I am fully familiar with the facts contained herein based upon my personal knowledge and the books and records kept in the ordinary course of Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield's business. I submit this declaration in support of Class Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' fees in above-captioned action (the "Action"), as well as for reimbursement of expenses incurred by my firm in connection with the Action.
- 2. My firm served as counsel for the City of Grand Marais in this Action as well as for other plaintiffs listed above. My firm was involved in the action as one of the law firms assigned certain specific duties by Lead Counsel and by other members of the Steering Committee.
- 3. The schedule attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a summary indicating the amount of time spent by each attorney and professional support staff employee of my firm who was involved in the Action, and the lodestar calculation based on my firm's current billing rates. For personnel who are no longer employed by my firm, the lodestar calculation is based upon the billing rates for such personnel in his or her final year of employment by my firm. The schedule

was prepared from contemporaneous daily time records regularly prepared and maintained by

my firm, which are available at the request of the Court. Time expended in preparing this

application for fees and reimbursement of expenses has not been included in this request.

4. The hourly rates for the attorneys and professional support staff in my firm

included in Exhibit 1 are the same as the regular rates that would be charged for their services in

non-contingent matters and/or which have been accepted in other antitrust litigation.

5. The total number of hours expended on this Action by my firm during the Time

Period is 15.5. The total lodestar for my firm for that period is \$11,315.00 consisting of

\$11,315.00 for attorneys' time and \$0 for professional support staff time.

6. My firm's lodestar figures are based upon the firm's billing rates, which rates do

not include charges for expense items. Expense items are billed separately, and such charges are

not duplicated in my firm's billing rates.

7. As detailed in the schedule attached hereto as Exhibit 2, my firm has incurred a

total of \$2,989.52 in unreimbursed expenses in connection with the prosecution of this Action.

8. The expenses incurred in this Action are reflected on the books and records of my

firm. These books and records are prepared from expense vouchers, check records and other

source materials and are an accurate record of the expenses incurred.

9. With respect to the standing of my firm, attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a brief

biography of my firm and attorneys in my firm who were principally involved in this Action.

I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing facts are true and correct.

ARRETT BY MNCHEIELD IR

Dated: March 20, 2019

# **EXHIBIT 1**

# IN RE LIQUID ALUMINUM SULFATE LITIGATION MONTHLY TIME REPORT

Reports for Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield Inception through February 2019

	The Contract of the Contract o	Contraction of the Contraction o					
	Professional	Date of (	Catergory				
Name	Level	Service	Code Catergory Name	Detailed Description of Work	Billing Rate Time Spent	Time Spent	Fees Total
Blanchfield, Garrett	PŢ	2/23/2016	6 Court Appearance	teleconference w/ All co-counsel re: 3/3 hearing	\$730.00	\$ 0.30	219.00
Blanchfield, Garrett	PT	2/25/2016	12 Pleadings/Briefs/Pre-1	12 Pleadings/Briefs/Pre-t Edit draft Joint Status update	\$730.00	\$ 0.30	219.00
Blanchfield, Garrett	PT	3/2/2016	6 Court Appearance	Travel to Newark; prepare for hearing/status conference	\$730.00	6.00	4.380.00
				Attend status conference; discuss w/ JK, B. Clark; travel to			
Blanchfield, Garrett	М	3/3/2016	6 Court Appearance	MSP	\$730.00	8.00 \$	5,840.00
Blanchfield, Garrett	PT	4/14/2016	8 Discovery	Teleconfernce w/C. Sande re: Plaintiff questionnaire	\$730.00	0.20	146.00
				Teleconference w/ S. Schwaiger re: client as lead Plaintiff;			
Blanchfield, Garrett	PT	4/25/2016	10 Litigation Strategy & Aemail CS/CH on same	email CS/CH on same	\$730.00	0.40	292.00
Blanchfield, Garrett	PT	6/7/2017	12 Pleadings/Briefs/Pre-t	lings/Briefs/Pre-t Analyze Pretrial Scheduling Order	\$730.00	\$ 0:00	219.00
						15.50 \$	11,315.00

# **EXHIBIT 2**

### IN RE LIQUID ALUMINUM SULFATE LITIGATION MONTHLY EXPENSE REPORT

Categories: 1. Assessment 2. Fed Ex/courier 3. Postage 4. Fax 5. Telephone 6. In-House Copies 7. Outside Copies 8. Hotels 9. Meals 10. Mileage 11. Air Travel 12. Depo costs 13. Lexis/Westlaw 14. court Fees 15. Witness/Expert fees 16. Investigation Fees 17. Transcripts 18.

Ground Transportation (taxis, rental, etc) 19. Miscellaneous

Reports for Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield Inception through February 2019

	Category				
Date	Code	Category Name	Detailed Description	Amount	
	_		G. Blanchfield travel to Florida for MDL on 1/27 -		
1/31/2016	8	Hotels	Hotel exp.	\$	269.20
			G. Blanchfield travel to Florida for MDL on 1/27 -		
1/31/2016	11	Airfare	Airfare	\$	341.20
			G. Blanchfield travel to Florida for MDL on 1/27 -		
1/31/2016	18	Ground Transportation	Airport parking	\$	43.00
1/31/2016	18	Ground Transportation	G. Blanchfield travel to Florida for MDL on 1/27 - Taxi	\$	20.00
			G. Blanchfield travel to Florida for MDL on 1/27 -		
1/31/2016	9	Meals	Meals	\$	32.99
1/26/2016	14	Court Fees	Filing Fee	\$	400.00
2/26/2016	14	Court Fees	PHV Filing Fee	\$	150.00
3/5/2016	8	Hotels	G. Blanchfield travel to NJ for hearing - Hotel	\$	199.52
3/5/2016	11	Airfare	G. Blanchfield travel to NJ for hearing - Airfare	\$	814.20
3/5/2016	18	Ground Transportation	G. Blanchfield travel to NJ for hearing - Taxis	\$	107.80
3/5/2016	9	Meals	G. Blanchfield travel to NJ for hearing - Meals	\$	89.01
5/17/2016	6	In-House Copies	Copies 4/16-5/16/16 x 39	\$	7.80
4/26/2016	14	Court Fees	PHV Filing fee for GDB in NJ	\$	212.00
4/15/2016	13	Lexis/Westlaw	Pacer 1/16-3/16	\$	37.40
7/1/2016	13	Pacer	Pacer 4/1-6/16	\$	0.60
8/16/2016	6	In-House Copies	Copies 7/12-8/15/16	\$	3.80
10/1/2016	13	Pacer	Pacer 7/1 through 9/30/16	\$	29.20
11/15/2016	6	In-House Copies	Copies 10/16-11/14/16	\$	53.60
12/15/2016	6	In-House Copies	Copies 11/15-12/14/16	\$	20.20
1/15/2017	6	In-House Copies	Copies 12/15-1/13/17	\$	6.00
1/15/2017	13	Pacer	10/2016 to 12/2016	\$	0.30
3/15/2017	6	In-House Copies	2/16-3/14/17 copies x 52	\$	10.40
8/15/2017	6	In-House Copies	7/18-8/14/17 copies x 350	\$	70.00
9/19/2017	6	In-House Copies	8/15-9/18/17 copies x 309	\$	61.80
1/15/2019	13	Pacer	4th Quarter 2018	\$	9.50
	_			,	
				\$ 2,	,989.52

# **EXHIBIT 3**

# Reinhardt, Wendorf & Blanchfield Attorneys at Law

### E-1250 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BUILDING 332 MINNESOTA STREET SAINT PAUL, MINNESOTA 55101

### FIRM PROFILE

The law firm of Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield was founded in March, 2003 by Mark Reinhardt, Mark Wendorf and Garrett Blanchfield, and is the successor firm of Reinhardt & Anderson, a nationally known class action firm. The firm focuses its practice on representing plaintiffs in class action litigation. The philosophy of the firm encompasses the values of hard work, ingenuity, integrity, pride in a quality product and successful result.

Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield zealously represents plaintiff classes in actions involving violations of state and federal antitrust, securities, consumer protection and racketeering laws. Our attorneys have successfully confronted some of the world's biggest corporations, challenged their questionable practices and recovered billions of dollars in the cases in which we have been involved. The firm's reputation for excellence has been recognized in courtrooms across America.

### ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield is committed to vigorously prosecuting price fixing and anti-competitive, unlawful business practices on behalf of its clients. The firm's antitrust attorneys have the experience and the economic and legal background necessary to help consumers and businesses injured by anti-competitive conduct. Our attorneys have successfully litigated major antitrust cases in state and federal courts throughout the United States at both the

trial court and appellate levels. Some of the antitrust cases in which the firm has played a significant role are:

<u>In re Air Cargo Shipping Services Antitrust Litigation</u>, Court File No. 06-md-01775-JG-VVP (EDNY). Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield is class counsel and participated in document review in this class action alleging antitrust violations in the air cargo shipping market. More than \$848 million has been recovered on behalf of the class.

<u>In re American Express Anti-Steering Rules Antitrust Litigation (II)</u> Court File No. 11-MD-02221(EDNY). Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield was co-lead counsel and is a member of the Executive Committee in this massive merchant antitrust case alleging claims of monopolization.

In re American Express Consolidated Merchants Litigation, Court File No. 04-CV-00366 (SDNY). Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield is co-lead counsel in this massive merchants antitrust tying case claims. This case was heard in the United States Supreme Court sub nom, American Express Company, et al. v. Italian Colors Restaurant, et al., 133 S Ct. 2304 (June 20, 2013).

In Re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation, Court File No. 12-md-02311 (E.D. Mich.) Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield serves as class counsel in this massive antitrust case alleging defendants engaged in a decade-long conspiracy to unlawfully fix and artificially raise the price of many automotive parts resulting in increased prices to both automotive manufacturers and consumers.

In Re: Blue Cross Blue Shield Antitrust Litigation, Court File No. 13-cv-20000 (N.D. Ala.). Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield represents a class of subscribers alleging defendants engaged in a conspiracy to allocate markets in order to establish and maintain monopoly power throughout the regions in which they operate in violation of the Sherman Act.

Boland v. Consolidated Multiple Listing Service, Inc. et al., Court File No. 09-cv-1974-SB, District of South Carolina. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield serves as class counsel in this case alleging unlawful restraint of competition among real estate brokerages in violation of federal antitrust laws.

In re: Brand Name Prescription Drugs Antitrust Litigation, Court File No. 94-C-897, Northern District of Illinois. The firm performed substantial work including serving as a member of the trial team, representing the class in this prescription drug antitrust price fixing case that recovered over \$700 million in settlements on behalf of the plaintiff class.

<u>In re Bromine Antitrust Litigation</u>, Court File No. IP 99-9310-C-B/S, Southern District of Indiana. Mark Reinhardt served as lead counsel in this multi-district antitrust class action alleging a nationwide conspiracy to fix the prices of certain bromine products. The plaintiff class recovered nearly \$10,000,000 in cash and product vouchers.

- Chicago Ingredients, Inc. v. Archer Daniels and Midland Company, Inc., Ajinomoto U.S.A., Inc., Ajinomoto Co., Inc., Chiel Foods and Chemicals, Inc., Miwon Co, Ltd., Takeda Chemical Industries, Ltd., Takeda U.S.A., Inc., and Tong Hai Fermentation Industrial Corp., Master File No. CV-00-0384, District of Minnesota. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield was class counsel in this multi-district antitrust class action.
- In Re: Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation, Master File No. 3:07-cv-05944-SC, MDL No. 1917, Northern District of California. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield is class counsel in this antitrust case alleging a national conspiracy to fix the price of, cathode-ray tubes ("CRTs") and products containing CRTs. Over \$149,000,000 in settlements was obtained on behalf of the plaintiff class.
- In re Cigarette Antitrust Litigation, Court File No.1:00-CV-0447-JOF, Northern District of Georgia. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield served on the expert witness committee in this nationwide antitrust case against the major manufacturers of cigarettes.
- <u>In re Commercial Tissue Products Antitrust Litigation</u>, MDL No. 1189, U.S. District Court, District of Florida. The firm was on the executive committee and participated in extensive discovery in this national antitrust case alleging price fixing in the paper products industry. The plaintiff class recovered in excess of \$40,000,000 in settlements.
- Kirk Dahl et al., v. Bain Capital Partners LLC, et al., Court File No. 07-cv-12388, District of Massachusetts. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield is class counsel in this antitrust case alleging a conspiracy among some of the world's largest private equity firms to not compete when bidding on large leveraged buy-outs. The plaintiff class recovered in excess of \$590 million in settlements.
- <u>In Re: Domestic Air Transportation Antitrust Litig.</u>, *MDL File No. 861, Northern District of Georgia.* The firm served as class counsel in this class action alleging violations of federal antitrust laws related to airfare pricing. Counsel negotiated settlements totaling \$458,000,000 on behalf of the plaintiff class.
- In re DRAM Antitrust Litigation, Court File No. MDL 1486, Central District of California. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield served as class counsel and participated in extensive discovery in this antitrust case alleging a national conspiracy to fix the price of D-RAM, a type of computer chip. Counsel negotiated settlements in the amount of \$325,997,000 on behalf of the plaintiff class.
- Matthew Edwards v. National Milk Producers Federation et. al., 11-cv-4766-JSW, Northern District of California. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield represents one of the named plaintiffs in this antitrust case alleging a conspiracy to limit the production of raw farm milk in violation of Federal Antitrust laws.
- <u>In re: European Rail Pass Antitrust Litigation</u>, Civil File No. 00-Civ.691-1(WCC), Southern District of New York. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield served as lead counsel

in this antitrust class action alleging price fixing of the commission paid to travel agents selling passes for European rail travel. The plaintiff class recovered \$375,000 in cash and \$888,000 in rail passes from two defendants who, in the wake of downturns in the travel industry, faced serious financial difficulties and potential bankruptcy.

Expressions Hair Design v. Schneiderman, Court File No. 13-cv-3775-JSR (SDNY). Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield is class counsel in this case alleging the New York nosurcharge law, N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 518, violates the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, is unconstitutionally vague, and is preempted by federal antitrust law.

In re Flat Glass Antitrust Litigation (II), Court File No. MDL No. 1942, Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield was class counsel and worked extensively with the economic experts in this antitrust case alleging a national conspiracy to fix the prices of Construction Flat Glass. Over \$22.3 million in settlements was recovered on behalf of the plaintiff class.

In re Flat Glass Antitrust Litigation, MDL 1200, Western District of Pennsylvania. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield was on the executive committee of this antitrust case alleging a horizontal price fixing conspiracy. Class counsel recovered \$61.7 million in settlements on behalf of the class.

In re High Fructose Corn Syrup Antitrust Litigation, Master File No. 95-1477, MDL No. 1087, District of Illinois. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield was class counsel and participated in extensive discovery in this national antitrust case alleging horizontal price fixing by the major manufacturers of high fructose corn syrup. \$431,000,000 in settlement were recovered on behalf of the plaintiff class.

In re Hydrogen Peroxide Antitrust Litigation Court File No. 05-1339, MDL 1682, Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield was class counsel in this antitrust case alleging price fixing in the manufacture and sale of Hydrogen Peroxide and its downstream products sodium perborate & sodium per carbonate. Counsel obtained over \$87.3 million in settlements on behalf of the plaintiff class.

<u>In re: Industrial Silicon Antitrust Litigation</u>, Civil File No. 95-2104, Western District of Pennsylvania. The firm served as co-lead and trial counsel in this antitrust price fixing case that recovered \$22.5 million in settlements from six defendants on behalf of the plaintiff class.

In re International Air Transportation Surcharge Antitrust Litigation, Court File No. 06-cv-01793-CRB, Northern District of California. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield was class counsel in this class action alleging antitrust violations related to fuel surcharges in the air transportation industry. Counsel obtained \$59,007,273 in settlements on behalf the class of U.S. Ticket purchasers and £48,339,176 on behalf U.K. ticket purchasers.

Kleen Products, LLC, et al v. Packaging Corporation of America, et al., Court File No. 10-cv-5711, Northern District of Illinois Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield serves as class counsel participating in extensive discovery projects in this pending class action alleging violation of federal antitrust laws.

<u>In re Linen Services Antitrust Litigation</u>, Court File No. 03-cv-7823-GEL, Southern District of New York. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield was class counsel in this antitrust case alleging price fixing in the linen services industry. Counsel negotiated settlements in the amount of \$6.3 million in cash and \$2.9 million in vouchers on behalf of the plaintiff class.

In re Linerboard Antitrust Litigation, Court File No. 99-CV-2549, Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield served on the expert witness committee and participated in extensive discovery in this antitrust class action alleging the manufacturers of corrugated linerboard conspired to fix prices on a nationwide level. The Plaintiff class recovered over \$200 million in settlements.

Marcus Corporation v. American Express, Court File No. 04-05432, Southern District of New York. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield is co-lead counsel in this pending anti-trust case challenging the tying of credit cards to charge cards.

In Re: Medical X-Ray Film Antitrust Litigation, Court File No. CV-93-5904 (CPS), Eastern District of New York. The firm was on the executive committee in this national class action alleging price fixing in the medical x-ray film industry. The Plaintiff class recovered \$39,360,000 in settlements.

<u>In re Milk Products Antitrust Litigation</u>, *Master File No. 3-96-458*, *District of Minnesota*. The firm was on the steering committee of this Minnesota antitrust case alleging a regional conspiracy to fix the price of milk.

In re NASDAQ Market Makers Antitrust Litigation, Court File No. 94 Civ. 3996 RWS, Southern District of New York. The firm performed substantial work representing the class in this case alleging market manipulation by the market makers in the National Association of Securities Dealers. Over \$1 billion in settlements was recovered on behalf of the plaintiff class.

In re NCAA Student-Athlete Name and Likeness Licensing Litigation, Court File No. 09-cv-1967, Northern District of California. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield serves as class counsel and represents one of the named plaintiffs in this class action alleging per se violations of federal antitrust laws by engaging in a price-fixing conspiracy and a group boycott/refusal to deal that has unlawfully foreclosed class members from receiving compensation in connection with commercial exploitation of their images following their conclusion of intercollegiate athletic competition. A \$40 million settlement was reached with two of the defendants. A trial against the remaining defendant resulted in the Court finding that the NCAA's rules prohibiting compensation

for likeness use was an antitrust violation and issued a permanent injunction against those rules.

In re Online DVD Rental Antitrust Litigation, Court File No. 09-md-2029, Northern District of California. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield serves as class counsel and has participated in extensive discovery in this class action alleging monopolization and illegal restraint of trade in the on-line DVD rental market. Class counsel has negotiated a settlement of \$27,250,000 from one of the defendants. Litigation continues against the remaining defendant.

In re OSB Antitrust Litigation, Master File No. 06-CV-00826 (PSD), Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield was class counsel in this antitrust case alleging a conspiracy to fix the price of OSB board. RWB worked with the experts, participated in extensive discovery and was in charge of the discovery efforts against one of the defendants. The plaintiff class recovered over \$120,000,000 in settlements.

In re Payment Card Interchange Fee and Merchant Discount Antitrust Litigation, MDL 05-1720 (JG)(JO), Eastern District of New York. RWB is co-lead counsel of a subset of allegations against Visa and MasterCard and is participating in extensive discovery in this massive anti-trust case against the issuers of credit cards. Counsel recovered in excess of \$6 billion in settlement on behalf of the plaintiff class.

<u>Performance Labs, Inc., et al. v. American Express Co., et al.,</u> Case No. 06-cv-2974 (SWK), Southern District of New York. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield is co-lead counsel in this case alleging that the restrictions placed on merchants by American Express are antitrust violations.

In Re: Plastic Tableware Antitrust Litigation, Master File No. 94-CV-3564 (United States District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania) The firm was co-lead counsel in this national antitrust case alleging the major manufacturers of injection molded plasticware engaged in a horizontal agreement to fix prices. Plaintiff class recovered \$9 million in settlements.

In re Polypropylene Carpet Antitrust Litigation, Master File No. 4:95-CV-193-HLM, MDL Docket No. 1075 (N. D. GA). The firm was on the executive committee and participated in extensive discovery in this national antitrust case alleging price fixing of polypropylene carpet. The plaintiff class recovered over \$7 million in settlements.

<u>In re: Potash Antitrust Litigation</u>, Court File No. 3-93-197, District of Minnesota. The firm served a co-lead counsel in this national antitrust class action alleging the major producers of potash conspired to artificially inflate prices.

<u>In re Pressure Sensitive Labelstock Antitrust Litigation</u>, Court File No. 03-MDL-1556 (M. D. Pa.). Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield was class counsel and participated discovery in this case alleging price fixing in the pressure sensitive label industry. Settlements of \$46.5 million have been recovered on behalf of the plaintiff class.

In re Rubber Chemicals Antitrust Litigation, Court File No. 02-19278, Hennepin County District Court). Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield served on the discovery and expert witness committees in this indirect purchaser antitrust class action, and served as lead counsel for the Minnesota case. As lead counsel, Garrett Blanchfield obtained a unanimous reversal of defendants' motion to dismiss from the Minnesota Supreme Court. Lorix v. Crompton Corp., et al, 734 N.W.2d 619 (Minn. 2007). The plaintiff class recovered \$3.8M in settlements.

Seiver et al. v. Time Warner, Court File No. 03-CV-7747, Southern District of New York. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield was co-lead counsel in this antitrust class action alleging Time Warner entered into illegal tying arrangements which required its subscribers to lease unwanted cable modems as part of their subscription fee for cable modem high-speed internet access.

In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation, Court File No. M: 07-1827 SI, MDL No. 1827, Northern District of California. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield is class counsel and is participating in extensive discovery in this pending antitrust case alleging a national conspiracy to inflate and stabilize the prices of Thin-Film Transistor Liquid Crystal Displays. Almost \$1.1 billion was recovered on behalf of the plaintiff class.

In re Transpacific Passenger Air Transportation Antitrust Litigation, Court File No. 07-cv-5634, Northern District of California. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield serves as class counsel in this class action alleging a long-running international conspiracy to fix the prices of trans-Pacific air passenger transportation and the fuel surcharges on this transportation. \$39,502,000 has been recovered on behalf of the plaintiff class.

In Re: Treasury Securities Auction Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 2673 (SDNY). The firm is class counsel in this class action alleging defendants engaged in a scheme to manipulate the market for U.S. Treasury bills, notes and bonds in violation of federal antitrust laws.

<u>Universal Delaware, Inc., d/b/a Gap Truck Stop v. ComData Corporation,</u> Court File No. 07-cv-1078-JKG-HSP, Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield was class counsel and participated in discovery in this class action case alleging anti-competitive conduct related to transaction fees on Comdata Proprietary Card Transactions. Defendants collectively agreed to pay \$130,000,000 in cash settlements.

<u>In re Vitamins Antitrust Litigation</u>, Court File No. 99-197 (TFH), District of Columbia. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield was class counsel and participated in extensive discovery in this national antitrust case alleging price fixing in the bulk vitamins industry. This case recovered over \$1 billion in settlements from several of the defendants.

## ATTORNEY BIOGRAPHIES

### Mark Reinhardt

Mark Reinhardt is a founding partner in Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield. Prior to forming Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield, Mark Reinhardt co-founded Reinhardt & Anderson in 1979. He is a 1971 graduate of Columbus School of Law, Catholic University of America, and recipient of the Reginald Heber Smith Fellowship in 1971 and again in 1972. The Fellowship allowed him to work in the area of significant class action litigation. He is admitted to practice in the Supreme Court of Minnesota and is a member of the bars of the Supreme Court of the United States, the Courts of Appeals for the Second, Third, Fourth, Sixth, Eighth and Ninth Circuits, the District of Minnesota, Eastern and Western Districts of Wisconsin and the District of Columbia.

For the last 35 years, Mr. Reinhardt has devoted a major amount of his practice to complex commercial and class action litigation. He has tried jury cases to verdict in several different areas of law, including class action/antitrust. He has taken an active role in numerous regional and national class actions and has served as lead counsel or a member of the executive committees of many of these actions. He has briefed and argued these cases at all federal levels, including the United States Supreme Court (H.J., Inc. v. Northwestern Bell, 109 U.S. 2893 (1989)). He has also been employed on a nationwide basis as a consultant on class action and RICO issues and has testified on the RICO statute before the U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee. For over ten years, Mr. Reinhardt's peers have named him a "Leading Minnesota Attorney" in the area of antitrust litigation.

Mr. Reinhardt was an adjunct Professor of law at William Mitchell College of Law and has taught many Continuing Legal Education courses in complex business litigation, racketeering, class actions, and antitrust. He is a member of the advisory board of the Civil RICO Report, a BNA publication. He has published in the areas of RICO and class action litigation. His writings include: Streich v. American Family: Anatomy of a Class Action, 12 Minn. Trial Law. 15 (Fall 1987); The Pattern of Pattern - Cases Post-H.J. Inc., 5 Civ. RICO Rep. 5 (March 6, 1990); The RICO Act, Public Utilities Fortnightly, July 1991; Coming out of the Trenches with RICO, (M.T.L.A. May 1992); Complex Commercial Litigation, (Business Torts, SC Bar-CLE Division, September 1994); When and How to Settle Class Actions (Minnesota State Bar Association CLE, March 1996); and Review of an Antitrust Class Action, (Minnesota State Bar Association CLE, November 1999); Management of the Large Case and Current Class Action Issues: Plaintiff's Perspective, (Minnesota Institute Legal Education, September 2000); Review of Nationwide Antitrust Practice (South Carolina Bankruptcy Association, February 2005) and Class Actions 101, Lunch & Learn (South Carolina Bar Association, June 2009); and Class Action 101, (Ramsey County Bar Association, February 2012).

### Mark A. Wendorf

Mr. Wendorf is a founding partner in Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield. Prior to forming Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield, Mr. Wendorf was a partner in the law firm of firm Reinhardt & Anderson. Mr. Wendorf is a 1986 graduate of William Mitchell College of Law, St. Paul, Minnesota. He practices in the areas of class action antitrust and consumer litigation, and insurance law. His practice includes both trial and appellate work in state and federal courts across the country. Mr. Wendorf served as trial counsel in one of the few antitrust class actions tried in the past 10 years. In addition to his trial and appellate court experience, Mr. Wendorf has written and lectured extensively on issues involving the applicability and reform of statutes of limitation. His writings include: The First Amendment: Churches Seeking Sanctuary for the Sins of the Fathers, 31 Fordham Urb. L.J. 617 (2004).

### Garrett D. Blanchfield

Mr. Blanchfield is a founding partner in the law firm of Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield. Prior to forming Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield, he was a partner in the St. Paul, Minnesota law firm of Reinhardt & Anderson. He has litigated class actions for more than 15 years with a focus on antitrust, securities and consumer cases. He is a 1990 graduate of Hamline University School of Law, where he was the Production Editor for the *Hamline Journal of Public Law and Policy*. Mr. Blanchfield interned with the Minnesota Court of Appeals Judge Doris Huspeni and also interned in the Canadian Department of Justice. Mr. Blanchfield was admitted to the Minnesota Bar in 1990. Upon graduation from law school, Mr. Blanchfield clerked for Minnesota District Court Judge Robert G. Schiefelbein. Mr. Blanchfield has taught legal writing at a local law school and lectured at a securities law CLE. In 2007, he obtained a unanimous reversal of a Minnesota Court of Appeals decision that limited the standing of indirect purchasers under Minnesota's Antitrust Act, *Lorix v. Crompton Corp.*, et al, 734 N.W.2d 619 (Minn. 2007). In *Robertson v. Sea Pines Real Estate Co.*, 679 F.3d. 278 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir., 2012), Mr. Blanchfield successfully argued to the 4<sup>th</sup> Circuit in support of a District Court decision denying Defendant's motions to dismiss a pair of cases alleging violations of the Sherman Act.

# Brant D. Penney

Brant Penney began working as an attorney at Reinhardt & Anderson in 2002 and joined the successor firm of Reinhardt, Wendorf & Blanchfield in August of 2003. A 2002 graduate of William Mitchell College of Law, Mr. Penney has over 10 years of experience litigating class actions in the areas of consumer protection, TCPA, antitrust, employment, and securities law. Mr. Penney has been involved in all aspects of litigation at the state and federal level, and is admitted to practice in the Supreme Court of Minnesota, the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals, and the District of Minnesota. He also currently serves as a Council Member of the Antitrust Law Section of the Minnesota State Bar Association. Mr. Penney published the following article: The First Amendment: Churches Seeking Sanctuary for the Sins of the Fathers, 31 Fordham Urb. L.J. 617 (2004).

### Roberta A. Yard

Ms. Yard joined Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield in 2006. Ms. Yard had previously worked for Heins, Mills & Olson and Hammagren & Meyer. She was admitted to the bar in 2002. Ms. Yard graduated from Winona State University in 1991, *magna cum laude*, with a B.S. in Sociology/Criminal Justice, and from Santa Clara University School of Law in 2002, where she was the Editor-in-Chief of the Santa Clara Law Review. Ms. Yard practices primarily in the areas of antitrust and securities fraud class action litigation, and has experience in nearly all aspects of litigation in both state and federal court.

### Lisa Neal Hayes

Mrs. Hayes began working as an associate with Reinhardt, Wendorf & Blanchfield in May, 2007. Mrs. Hayes had previously worked with Whatley Drake & Kallas of Birmingham, Alabama. She was admitted to the bar in 2004. Mrs. Hayes graduated from Auburn University in 2000 with a B.S. in Human Development and Family Studies and from Cumberland School of Law in 2004. Mrs. Hayes practices primarily in the area of antitrust class action litigation.