UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Civil Action No. 9:16-cv-80060-MARRA

BRANDON LEIDEL, and MICHAEL WILSON, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

PROJECT INVESTORS, INC. d/b/a CRYPTSY, a Florida corporation,
PAUL VERNON, an individual,
LORIE ANN NETTLES, an individual,
RIDGEWOOD INVESTMENTS, INC.,
a New Jersey corporation, and
KAUSHAL MAJMUDAR, individually,

Defendants.		

ORDER CERTIFYING CLASS FOR SETTLEMENT PURPOSES,
GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
SETTLEMENT, DIRECTING THE ISSUANCE OF CLASS NOTICE,
SCHEDULING A FINAL APPROVAL HEARING,
AND

DIRECTING THE ISSUANCE OF NOTICE OF CERTIFICATION OF THE CLASS AS TO DEFENDANTS CRYPTSY AND VERNON

THIS CAUSE is before the Court upon Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, [D.E. 96] and Plaintiffs' Unopposed Supplement to Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement [D.E. 98] (collectively, the "Motion"). The Court has carefully reviewed the Motion, the parties' Settlement Agreement, [D.E. 96–1] (the "Settlement Agreement") and all exhibits thereto, the record in this case and applicable law, and is otherwise fully advised in the premises. It is hereby **ORDERED AND**

ADJUDGED as follows:

- 1. Settlement. Plaintiffs, the Receiver James Salah, acting on behalf of Defendant Project Investors, Inc. d/b/a Cryptsy ("Cryptsy"), and Defendants Ridgewood Investments, Inc. and Kaushal Majmudar (collectively, "Ridgewood") have negotiated a potential settlement of this action (the "Cryptsy Litigation" or the "Action") as against Defendant Ridgewood to avoid the expense, uncertainties, and burden of protracted litigation, and to resolve the Released Claims (as defined herein and in the Ridgewood Settlement Agreement) against Defendant Ridgewood.
- 2. Review. Settlement "has special importance in class actions with their notable uncertainty, difficulties of proof, and length. Settlements of complex cases contribute greatly to the efficient use of judicial resources, and achieve the speedy resolution of justice[.]" Turner v. Gen. Elec. Co., No. 2:05-CV-186-FTM-99DNF, 2006 WL 2620275,*2 (M.D.Fla. Sept. 13, 2006). For these reasons, "[p]ublic policy strongly favors the pretrial settlement of class action lawsuits." In re U.S. Oil & Gas Litig., 967 F.2d 489, 493 (11th Cir.1992). "Approval of a class action settlement is a two-step process." Fresco v. Auto Data Direct, Inc., No. 03-cv-61063, 2007 WL 2330895,*4 (S.D.Fla. May 14, 2007). Preliminary approval is the first step, requiring the Court to "make a preliminary determination on the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the settlement terms." *Id.* In the second step, after notice to the class and time and opportunity for absent class members to object or otherwise be heard, the court considers whether to grant final approval. Smith v. Wm. Wrigley Jr. Co., 2010 WL 2401149,*2 (S.D. Fla. June 15, 2010). "Preliminary approval is appropriate where the proposed settlement is the result of the parties' good faith negotiations, there are no obvious deficiencies and the settlement falls within the range of reason." Id. At the preliminary approval stage, district courts consider whether the proposed settlement appears to be "the result of informed, good-faith, arms'-length negotiation

between the parties and their capable and experienced counsel' and not 'the result of collusion[.]" *In re Checking Account Overdraft Litig.*, 830 F.Supp.2d 1330, 1341 (S.D.Fla.2011). Applying this standard, the Court has carefully reviewed the Ridgewood Settlement Agreement, as well as the files, records, and proceedings to date in this matter. The terms and conditions in the Settlement Agreement are hereby incorporated as though fully set forth in this Order, and, unless otherwise indicated, capitalized terms in this Order shall have the meanings attributed to them in the Settlement Agreement.

- approves that the settlement reached between Plaintiffs, Receiver and Defendant Nettles (*i.e.*, the Nettles Settlement, to which this Court previously granted preliminary approval on October 27, 2016 (D.E. 74)), and the instant Ridgewood Settlement, shall be treated as one for purposes of the creation of a single Settlement Fund, and the resulting notice and claims administration process. The Settlement Class for the Nettles Settlement and the Ridgewood Settlement is the same, and the Ridgewood Settlement serves to add monies to the Settlement Fund initially created in the Nettles Settlement. Accordingly, all operative dates and deadlines in the Nettles Settlement Agreement shall be the same as those set forth in the Ridgewood Settlement, and as set forth in this Order. Further, unless specifically noted, all references to the Ridgewood Settlement Class refer to the singular Settlement Class created by the Nettles and Ridgewood Settlements.
- 4. Preliminary Approval. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the Ridgewood Settlement entered into by the Parties, and the exhibits appended to the Motion. The Court finds that the Ridgewood Settlement was of informed, good-faith, arms'-length negotiations between the Parties and their capable and experienced counsel and was completed with the assistance of

the Hon. Howard Tescher (ret.), an experienced mediator with particular expertise in complex commercial and financial disputes. The Court further finds that the Ridgewood Settlement, including the exhibits appended to the Motion, is within the range of reasonableness and possible judicial approval, such that: (a) a presumption of fairness is appropriate for the purposes of preliminary settlement approval; and (b) it is appropriate to effectuate notice to the Ridgewood Settlement Class, as set forth below and in the Settlement, and schedule a Final Approval Hearing to assist the Court in determining whether to grant Final Approval to the Ridgewood Settlement and enter Final Judgment.

- 5. Certification of the Settlement Class. Unlike the Nettles Settlement Agreement, which was presented to this Court for preliminary approval after the Court certified the Class' claims against Defendant Nettles (see Order Granting Class Certification at D.E. 65), Plaintiffs present the Ridgewood Settlement through a request to both certify the class for settlement purposes and for preliminary approval.
- 6. Settlement Class Relief. The proposed Ridgewood Settlement Agreement is approved on a preliminary basis as fair, reasonable, and adequate. The Settlement Class shall consist of:
- (a) All CRYPTSY account owners who held Bitcoins, alternative cryptocurrencies, or any other form of monies or currency at CRYPTSY as of November 1, 2015 through the date of the final approval hearing in this action. Excluded from the Class are: (1) employees of CRYPTSY, including its shareholders, officers and directors and members of their immediate families; (2) any judge to whom this action is assigned and the judge's immediate family; and (3)

This Court, at Plaintiffs' request, modifies the Settlement Class definition in the Court's October 27, 2016 Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Approval is modified to confirm with the definition set forth herein for the purpose of providing a certain end date to the class definition and class period. *E.g.*, *Powers v. Hamilton Cnty. Pub. Def. Comm'n*, 501 F.3d 592, 619 (6th Cir. 2007)("District courts have broad discretion to modify class definitions").

persons who timely and validly opt to exclude themselves from the Class.

- (b) The Settlement Class Period shall commence on November 1, 2015 and shall continue through the date of the final approval hearing in this action.
- (c) The Settlement Class' claim against Ridgewood is unjust enrichment contained in Count X of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 7. Preliminary Certification of Settlement Class. The Court makes the following preliminary determinations, for purposes of a settlement class as to the claim for unjust enrichment in Count X of the Second Amended Complaint asserted against Ridgewood only under Fed.R.Civ.P. 23(e), as to certification of the Settlement Class:
- (a) The Court preliminarily certifies the Settlement Class for purposes of settlement only, under Fed.R.Civ.P. 23(a) and (b)(3);
 - (b) The Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable;
 - (c) There are questions of law or fact common to the members of the Settlement Class;
- (d) The claims of the Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the other members of the Settlement Class;
- (e) Plaintiffs are capable of fairly and adequately protecting the interests of the members of the Settlement Class, in connection with the Settlement Agreement;
- (f) For purposes of settlement, in which there will be no trial and the Court is not presented with trial manageability issues, common questions of law and fact predominate over questions affecting only individual members of the Settlement Class;
 - (g) The Settlement Class is ascertainable; and
- (h) Resolution of the claims in this Litigation by way of a nationwide settlement is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient resolution of the claims of the

Settlement Class.

- 8. Designation of Class Representative. Brandon Liedel and Michael Wilson are designated as representatives of the Settlement Class for the sole purpose of seeking a settlement of the Action.
- 9. **Designation of Class Counsel.** The law firms of Wites & Kapetan, P.A. and Silver Law Group, and their respective counsel, Marc A. Wites, and David C. Silver, are hereby designated as Class Counsel for the Settlement Class.
- 10. Final Approval Hearing. A hearing regarding final approval of the Ridgewood and Nettles Settlement Agreements ("Final Approval Hearing") will be held at on June 2. 2017 at 10:00 a.m./p.m. before the Honorable Kenneth A. Marra in Courtroom #4 at the Paul G. Rogers Federal Building and US Courthouse, 701 Clematis Street, West Palm Beach, FL 33401, to determine, among other things: (i) whether the Nettles and Ridgewood Settlements should be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate; (ii) whether the Cryptsy Litigation should be dismissed with prejudice pursuant to the terms of the Nettles and Ridgewood Settlement Agreements as against the Settling Defendants, Nettles and Ridgewood; (iii) whether Settlement Class Members should be bound by the Release set forth in the Nettles and Ridgewood Settlement Agreements; (iv) whether Settlement Class Members should be subject to a permanent injunction which, among other things, bars Settlement Class Members from filing, commencing, prosecuting, intervening in, participating in (as class members or otherwise), organizing, or soliciting the participation of other Settlement Class Members, or any persons who would otherwise fall within the definition of the Settlement Class but who exclude themselves from the Nettles and Ridgewood Settlements, to pursue any action in any jurisdiction based on or relating to any of the Released Claims or the facts and circumstances relating

thereto; and (v) whether the application of Class Counsel for an award of Attorneys' Fees and expenses, and the proposed incentive award to plaintiffs, should be approved.

The Court may (i) approve the Nettles and Ridgewood Settlement Agreements, with such modifications as may be agreed to by the parties, without further notice; and (ii) adjourn the final approval hearing from time to time, by oral announcement at the hearing without further notice. The Court retains exclusive jurisdiction over the litigation to consider all further matters arising out of or in connection with the proposed settlement.

- 11. Class Notice. The Court approves and directs the issuance of notice to the Settlement Class for the Nettles and Ridgewood Settlements in a single notice and claim form, as set forth herein.
- (a) The Court approves the Class Notice in the Ridgewood Settlement Agreement, including the revised Class Notice attached to Plaintiffs' January 23, 2017 Unopposed Supplement to Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval and the manner of providing Mail and Publication Notice to Settlement Class Members described therein and in Section IV of the Nettles and Ridgewood Settlement Agreements. The Court finds that this is the best practicable notice under the circumstances and is reasonably calculated, under all the circumstances, to apprise potential Settlement Class Members of the pendency of the Action, to apprise persons who would otherwise fall within the definition of the Settlement Class of their right to exclude themselves from the proposed Settlement Class, and to apprise Settlement Class Members of their right to object to the proposed Settlement and their right to appear at the Final Approval Hearing. The Court further finds that Mail Notice and the other forms of Class Notice in the Nettles and Ridgewood Settlement Agreements are reasonable, constitute due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive notice, and meet the requirements of due

process.

- (b) The Mail Notice shall be mailed **so as to be received** not less than **ninety (90) days** before the date set by the Court for a Final Approval Hearing regarding the Settlement. The Mail Notice shall include the Claim Instructions and Proof of Claim Form attached to the Nettles and Ridgewood Settlement Agreements, as further revised and attached to Plaintiffs' January 17, 2017 Motion for Preliminary Approval as Exhibits B and C.
- (c) If Plaintiffs and Class Counsel make any further changes to the Class Notice and Claim Form they shall submit such items to the Court for approval prior to publication and dissemination of such items to the Settlement Class.
- (d) No later than the posting of the Mail Notice, the Settlement Administrator shall establish an Internet site (the "Settlement Website") which shall contain copies of the Nettles and Ridgewood Settlement Agreements and their Exhibits, and the Mail Notice. The Settlement Website shall also contain Claim Form Instructions and a Claim Form which may be downloaded or printed from the Settlement Website. Settlement Class Members may complete, sign and upload a Claim Form to the Settlement Website, provided that for those Claims requiring verification documents, scanned copies of those verification documents are uploaded to the Settlement Website with the appropriate Claim number to associate the upload with the Claim. In addition, the Settlement Website shall allow for the option of completing Claim Forms online within the Settlement Website, utilizing an e-signature format. However, when the Claim Form is completed online, the Settlement Class Member must separately upload the required verification documents to the Settlement Class Member must separately upload the required verification documents to the Settlement Website pursuant to the instructions on the Settlement Website no later than the Claim Deadline. The Settlement Website shall have a Uniform Resource Locator which identifies the Settlement Website as www.CryptsySettlement.com. The

Settlement Website shall remain open and accessible through the last day for Settlement Class Members to submit a Claim for Settlement Relief.

- (e) Not less than **forty-five (45) days** before the date set by the Court for the Final Approval Hearing regarding this Settlement, the Settlement Administrator shall have caused the notices provided for in Section IV.A.2 of the Settlement Agreement to have been published and disseminated.
- (f) The Settlement Administrator shall establish a toll-free phone number through which settlement class members can obtain information about this Settlement, including information about the Claim Form, utilizing the relevant portions of the language contained in the Notice and Claim Form. The phone number shall remain open and accessible through the Claim Deadline. The Settlement Administrator shall make reasonable provision for Class Counsel to be promptly advised of recorded messages left on the phone number by potential Settlement Class Members concerning the Action and/or this Settlement, so that Class Counsel may timely and accurately respond to such inquiries; provided however, the Administrator shall review the recorded messages before providing them to Class Counsel, and if one or more of the messages requests a blank Claim Form or other similar administrative assistance only, then the Administrator shall handle such administrative request(s), but the Administrator shall provide all other messages to Class Counsel for any further response to the Settlement Class Member.
- (g) No later than **ten (10) days** prior to the Final Approval Hearing, Class Counsel shall obtain from the Settlement Administrator and shall file with the Court a proof of mailing of the Mail Notice, proof of publication of the summary notice two times, proof of establishment of the Settlement Website, and proof of the establishment of the toll-free phone number required by the Settlement Agreement.

- 12. Administrators. The Court authorizes and directs the Receiver and Plaintiffs' Settlement Counsel to retain one Angeion Group (http://www.angeiongroup.com/) as the Administrator to implement the terms of the Settlement Agreement, and authorizes and directs such Administrators to (i) mail and email, as applicable, the Mail Notice and publish the summary notice, (ii) establish the toll free phone line system, (iii) establish the Settlement Website, (iv) receive and process settlement claims, and (v) carry out such other responsibilities as are provided for in the Settlement Agreement or may be agreed to by the Parties in the Action.
- within the definition of the Settlement Class who wishes to be excluded from the Settlement Class must send a written Request for Exclusion to the Settlement Administrator, by first-class mail, postage prepaid, to the address provided in the Mail Notice and Settlement Website. Persons must be afforded at least sixty (60) days to determine whether they wish to exclude themselves form the Settlement Class and, in such event, any such Request for Exclusion must be delivered to Class Counsel and the Administrator by no later than seven (7) days before the Final Approval Hearing Date as provided in Section VIID of the Settlement Agreement.
- (a) To be valid, the Request for Exclusion must: (a) identify the case name and number; (b) identify the name and address of the person requesting exclusion; (c) be personally signed by the person requesting exclusion; and (d) contain a statement that indicates a desire to be excluded from the Settlement Class in the Cryptsy Litigation, such as "I hereby request that I be excluded from the proposed Settlement Class in the Cryptsy Class Action." Mass or class opt outs shall not be allowed.
- (b) A person who would otherwise fall within the definition of the Settlement Class who desires to opt out must take timely affirmative written action pursuant to this Order and the

Settlement Agreement, even if the person desiring to opt out of the Settlement Class (a) files or

has filed a separate action against any of the Released Persons, or (b) is, or becomes, a putative

class member in any other class action filed against any of the Released Persons.

Settlement Class Members will be deemed to be Settlement Class Members for all (c)

purposes under the Agreement, and upon the Final Settlement Date, will be bound by its terms,

including, but not limited to, the Releases in Section VIII of the Nettles and Ridgewood

Settlement Agreements.

(d) If the proposed settlement is approved, Settlement Class Members shall be bound

by all subsequent proceedings, orders, and judgments in this Action, even if he or she has

pending, or subsequently initiates, litigation against the Settling Defendant relating to any of the

Released Claims to Nettles and Ridgewood Settlement Agreements.

14. **Objections and Appearances.** Settlement Class Members who comply with the

requirements of this Paragraph may object to any aspect of the proposed settlement either on his

or her own or through an attorney hired at his or her expense. Any Settlement Class Member

who wishes to object to the Nettles and Ridgewood Settlement Agreements must do so in writing

and must file with the Clerk of Court and serve on Class Counsel and Defendants' Counsel, at

the addresses listed below, a written statement of objection in accordance with the requirements

set forth below and in the Settlement Agreement no later than thirty (30) days before the Final

Approval Hearing:

For Plaintiff and Settlement Class

Marc Wites, Esq.

WITES & KAPETAN, P.A.

4400 N. Federal Highway

Lighthouse Point, Florida 33064

Phone: (954) 570-8989/Fax: (954) 354-0205

For Receiver James Salah, on behalf of Cryptsy

Patrick Rengstl, Esq.
PAYTON & RENGSTL, LLC
2 South Biscayne Blvd.
Suite 1600
Miami, FL 33131

For Defendant Lorie Ann Nettles

Mark A. Levy, Esq. Brinkley Morgan 200 East Las Olas Blvd. - 19th Floor Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

For Defendants Ridgewood Investments, Inc. and Kaushal Majmudar

Jose G. Sepulveda, Esq. Stearns Weaver Miller Weissler Alhadeff & Sitterson, P.A. 150 W. Flagler Street, Suite 2200 Miami, FL 33130

- (a) The requirements to assert a valid written objection shall be set forth in the Class Notice and on the Settlement Website, and shall include: (a) the case name and number; (b) the name, address, telephone number of the Person objecting and, if represented by counsel, of his/her counsel; (c) the basis for the objection; and (d) a statement of whether he/she intends to appear at the Final Approval Hearing, either with or without counsel;
- (b) Any Settlement Class Member who fails to object to the Nettles and Ridgewood Settlements in the manner described in the Class Notice and consistent with this Section shall be deemed to have waived any such objection, shall not be permitted to object to any terms or approval of the Settlement at the Final Approval Hearing, and shall be foreclosed from seeking any review of the Settlement or the terms of the Nettles and Ridgewood Settlement Agreements by appeal or other means;
 - (c) Any Settlement Class Member who submits a timely written objection may

appear, in person or by counsel, at the Final Approval Hearing held by the Court, to show cause why the proposed Settlement Agreement should not be approved as fair, adequate, and reasonable, provided that the objecting Settlement Class Member: (a) files with the Clerk of the Court a notice of intention to appear at the Final Approval Hearing ("Notice of Intention to Appear"), which must include the case name and number and the Settlement Class Member's name, address, telephone number, and signature, by the Objection Deadline; and (b) serves the Notice of Intention to Appear on all counsel designated in the Class Notice by the Objection Deadline. The Notice of Intention to Appear must include copies of any papers, exhibits, or other evidence that the objecting Settlement Class Member will present to the Court in support of their objection at the Final Approval Hearing. Any attorney who intends to represent an objecting Settlement Class Member at the Final Approval Hearing must do so at the Settlement Class Member's expense and must file a notice of appearance at least two weeks before the Final Approval Hearing. Any Settlement Class Member who does not file a Notice of Intention to Appear in accordance with the deadlines and other specifications set forth in the Agreement and Class Notice will not be entitled to appear at the Final Approval Hearing to raise any objections.

15. Releases. If the Settlement is Finally Approved, the Releasing Persons shall release the Released Persons from all Released Claims (the definitions of "Releasing Persons," "Released Persons" and "Released Claims" set forth in Sections I and VIII of the Settlement Agreement are hereby adopted and incorporated by reference), including, inter alia, and without limitation, all claims, charges, or demands that relate, concern, arise from, or pertain in any way to the Released Persons' conduct, policies, or practices concerning all allegations and any and all claims and/or causes of action that were or could have been asserted against the respective Settling Defendant in the Cryptsy Litigation.

- oppose an application for the award of Attorneys' Fees not to exceed 1/3 of the Settlement Fund, plus Expenses. Plaintiffs and Class Counsel agree not to seek an award of Attorneys' Fees greater than 1/3 of the Settlement Fund, plus costs and expenses in the Action. Defendants also agree not to oppose the application for an incentive award of \$500.00 each for the Named Plaintiffs Brandon Liedel and Michael Wilson for their work and assistance in this Action. Plaintiffs and Class Counsel agree not to seek an incentive award in excess of \$500.00 for each Named Plaintiff.
- 17. Preliminary Injunction. All Settlement Class Members are hereby preliminarily enjoined from directly or indirectly (i) filing, commencing, prosecuting, intervening in, or participating in (as class members or otherwise), any lawsuit in any jurisdiction for the Released Claims; or (ii) organizing any Settlement Class Members, or persons who would otherwise fall within the definition of the Settlement Class but who request exclusion from the Settlement Class, into a separate class for purposes of pursuing as a purported class action any lawsuit (including by seeking to amend a pending complaint or counterclaim to include class allegations, or seeking class certification in a pending action) based on or relating to the claims and causes of action, or the facts and circumstances relating thereto, in this Action and/or the Released Claims.
- 18. Service of Papers. Defendants' Counsel and Class Counsel shall serve on each other and on all other parties who have filed notices of appearance, at or before the Final Approval Hearing, any further documents in support of the proposed Settlement, including responses to any papers filed by Settlement Class Members. Defendants' Counsel and Class Counsel shall promptly furnish to each other any and all objections or written requests for exclusion that may come into their possession and shall file such objections or requests for

exclusion with the Court on or before the date of the Final Approval Hearing.

- 19. Termination of Settlement. This Order shall become null and void, and shall be without prejudice to the rights of the Parties, all of whom shall be restored to their respective positions existing immediately before this Court entered this Order, if (i) the proposed Nettles and Ridgewood Settlements are not finally approved by the Court, or do not become Final, pursuant to the terms of the respective Settlement Agreements; or (ii) the Settlement Agreements are terminated pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreements for any reason. In such event, and except as provided therein, the proposed Settlements and Settlement Agreements shall become null and void and be of no further force and effect; the preliminary certification of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes shall be automatically vacated; neither the Settlement Agreements nor the Court's Orders, including this Order, shall be used or referred to for any purpose whatsoever; and the Parties shall retain, without prejudice, any and all objections, arguments, and defenses with respect to class certification.
- 20. Use of Order Following Termination of Settlement. This Order shall be of no force and effect if the Settlements do not become Final and shall not be construed or used as an admission, concession, or declaration by or against any Defendant of any fault, wrongdoing, breach, liability, or appropriateness of contested class certification, or by or against any Plaintiff or the Settlement Class Members, or persons who would otherwise fall within the definition of the Settlement Class but who request exclusion from the Settlement Class, that their claims lack merit or that the relief requested in the Second Amended Class Complaint in this Action is inappropriate, improper, or unavailable, or as a waiver by any party of any defenses they may have.
 - 21. Notice of Certification of Claims Against Defendants Cryptsy and Vernon.

Pursuant to Rule 23(c)(2)(B), Fed.R.Civ.P., the Court authorizes and instructs Plaintiffs to issue to the Settlement Class, in conjunction with the notice provided to this Order as to Nettles and Ridgewood Settlements, notice of the certification of the claims against Defendants Cryptsy and

Vernon as set forth in the proposed notice attached to Plaintiffs' January 23, 2017 Unopposed

Supplement to Unopposed Motion for Class Certification.

22. Final Approval Hearing. As noted above, the Court grants Plaintiffs' request to

continue to the final approval hearing, which is presently scheduled for April 21, 2017, to

Jone 2, 2017, at/2:00 a.m./p.m. as set forth in paragraph 10 above.

23. Necessary Steps. The Court authorizes the Parties to take all necessary and

appropriate steps to implement the Nettles and Ridgewood Settlement Agreement.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers in West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County,

Florida, this 3/day of JANIARY, 2017

KENNETH A. MARRA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE