

The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE**

CHERYL KATER and SUZIE KELLY,  
individually and on behalf of all others similarly  
situated,

*Plaintiffs,*

v.

CHURCHILL DOWNS INCORPORATED, a  
Kentucky corporation, and BIG FISH GAMES,  
INC., a Washington corporation.

*Defendants.*

No. 15-cv-00612-RSL

**PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE  
TO FILE OVER-LENGTH BRIEFS  
AND [PROPOSED] ORDER**

Noting Date: December 14, 2020

MANASA THIMMEGOWDA, individually and  
on behalf of all others similarly situated,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

BIG FISH GAMES, INC., a Washington  
corporation; ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES  
INC., a Nevada corporation; ARISTOCRAT  
LEISURE LIMITED, an Australian corporation;  
and CHURCHILL DOWNS INCORPORATED,  
a Kentucky corporation,

*Defendants.*

No. 19-cv-00199-RSL

**PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE  
TO FILE OVER-LENGTH BRIEFS  
AND [PROPOSED] ORDER**

Noting Date: December 14, 2020

1 Plaintiffs Cheryl Kater, Suzie Kelly, and Manasa Thimmegowda, by and through their  
2 attorneys, and pursuant to Local Rule 7(f), move for leave to file two (2) over-length briefs: (i)  
3 Plaintiffs’ Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement Agreement (“Motion for Final  
4 Approval”), and (ii) Class Counsel’s Motion for Award of Attorneys’ Fees and Expenses and  
5 Issuance of Incentive Awards (“Motion for Attorneys’ Fees, Expenses, and Incentive Awards”)  
6 (together, the “Motions”). In support, Plaintiffs state as follows:

7 1. Pursuant to Local Rule 7(e), Plaintiff is allotted twenty-four (24) pages for each  
8 Motion insofar as the Motions pertain in part to certification of the Settlement Class.

9 2. The Motions call for, *inter alia*, extensive discussion and analysis of the factual  
10 and procedural background of this complex multi-year litigation, a discussion of the terms of a  
11 landmark class action settlement, and a comprehensive analysis of FRCP 23(e) (Motion for Final  
12 Approval) and Ninth Circuit precedent on attorneys’ fees in large class actions (Motion for  
13 Attorneys’ Fees, Expenses, and Incentive Awards).

14 3. Accordingly, Plaintiffs respectfully request up to an additional seven (7) pages  
15 (*i.e.*, up to a maximum of thirty-one (31) pages) for each Motion to address these subjects  
16 thoroughly.

17  
18 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court grant Plaintiffs’ Motion for  
19 Leave to File Over-Length Briefs.

20  
21 Dated: December 14, 2020

22 Respectfully submitted,

23 By: /s/ Alexander G. Tievsky

24 Alexander G. Tievsky, WSBA #57125  
25 atievsky@edelson.com  
26 Edelson PC  
27 350 North LaSalle Street, 14th Floor  
Chicago, Illinois 60654

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

Tel: 312.589.6370/Fax: 312.589.6378

By: /s/ Todd Logan

Rafey S. Balabanian\*  
rbalabanian@edelson.com  
Todd Logan\*  
tlogan@edelson.com  
Brandt Silver-Korn\*  
bsilverkorn@edelson.com  
Edelson PC  
123 Townsend Street, Suite 100  
San Francisco, California 94107  
Tel: 415.212.9300/Fax: 415.373.9435

By: /s/ Cecily C. Shiel

TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC  
Cecily C. Shiel, WSBA #50061  
cshiel@tousley.com  
1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2200  
Seattle, Washington 98101-4416  
Tel: 206.682.5600

*Plaintiffs' Attorneys and Class Counsel*  
*\*Admitted pro hac vice*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

**PROPOSED ORDER**

The motion is **GRANTED**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2021.

\_\_\_\_\_  
ROBERT S. LASNIK  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE