

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF OAKLAND

VINCENT CARR, ET AL.  
AND ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY  
SITUATED,

Case No. 2020-181002-NZ  
Hon. Edward Sosnick

Plaintiffs,

vs.

BEAUMONT HEALTH, ET AL.,

Defendants.

---

**ADAM G. TAUB & ASSOCIATES  
CONSUMER LAW GROUP PLC**  
Adam G. Taub (P48703)  
17200 West 10 Mile Road, Suite 200  
Southfield, MI 48075  
Phone: (248) 746-3790  
Email: [adamgtaub@clgplc.net](mailto:adamgtaub@clgplc.net)

**MASON LIETZ & KLINGER LLP**  
David K. Lietz (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
5101 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Suite 305  
Washington, DC 20016  
Phone: (202) 640-1160  
Email: [dlietz@masonllp.com](mailto:dlietz@masonllp.com)

Marc E. Lipton (P43877)  
Kyle J. Kelly (P69863)  
**LIPTON LAW**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
18930 W. 10 Mile Rd., #3000  
Southfield, MI 48075  
Email: [marc@liptonlaw.com](mailto:marc@liptonlaw.com)

J. Gerard Stranch, IV (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
**BRANSTETTER, STRANCH  
& JENNINGS, PLLC**  
223 Rosa L. Parks Avenue, Suite 200  
Nashville, TN 37203  
Email: [gerards@bsjfirm.com](mailto:gerards@bsjfirm.com)

Lynn A. Toops (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
**COHEN & MALAD, LLP**  
One Indiana Square, Suite 1400  
Indianapolis, IN 46204  
Email: [ltoops@cohenandmalad.com](mailto:ltoops@cohenandmalad.com)

**MCDONALD HOPKINS PLC**  
Michael G. Latiff (P51263)  
39533 Woodward Avenue  
Suite 318  
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304  
Phone: (248) 220-1351  
Email: [mlatiff@mcdonaldhopkins.com](mailto:mlatiff@mcdonaldhopkins.com)

**MCDONALD HOPKINS LLC**  
Christopher Dean (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
600 Superior Avenue, E., Suite 2100  
Cleveland, OH 44114  
Phone: (216) 430-2045  
Email: [cdean@mcdonaldhopkins.com](mailto:cdean@mcdonaldhopkins.com)

**MCDONALD HOPKINS LLC**  
Christopher F. Allen (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
300 North LaSalle, Suite 1400  
Chicago, IL 60654  
Phone: (312) 280-0111  
Email: [callen@mcdonaldhopkins.com](mailto:callen@mcdonaldhopkins.com)

Samuel Strauss (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Austin Doan (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
**TURKE & STRAUSS, LLP**  
613 Williamson Street, Suite 201  
Madison, WI 53703  
[sam@trukestrauss.com](mailto:sam@trukestrauss.com)  
[austind@turkestrauss.com](mailto:austind@turkestrauss.com)

---

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR  
PRELIMINARY CLASS SETTLEMENT APPROVAL**

This matter came before the Court on Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Settlement Agreement. Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of the proposed Settlement Class, and Defendants Beaumont Health and William Beaumont Hospital (“Defendants”) have entered into a Settlement Agreement (the “Settlement Agreement”) that settles the above-captioned litigation.

This case (which is the consolidation of two separately filed lawsuits) arises from an incident where email accounts belonging to Defendant Beaumont Health employees were accessed by “bad actors” between May 23, 2019 and June 3, 2019. In the post-incident investigation, Defendants determined that records of approximately 112,000 patients were contained within emails to which the “bad actors” had access. Plaintiffs allege that this allowed cyberthieves to steal confidential patient information, including names, contact information, diagnoses, procedures, treatment locations, patient account numbers, medical record numbers, prescription details, treatments, Social Security numbers, financial account data, health insurance information, driver’s licenses, state identification numbers, and other private information.

Beginning on or about April 17, 2020, Beaumont notified approximately 112,000 individuals that their information *may* have been impacted by this incident (the “Notice”).

Plaintiff Carr and eight other plaintiffs filed a putative class action complaint on April 29, 2020, alleging that a third-party criminal actor gained access to the email accounts of certain Beaumont employees. Plaintiffs further alleged that their personal information was compromised

as a result of the cyberattack. On July 9, 2020, Plaintiffs Bargo and Barker filed their initial complaint in Oakland County Circuit Court. The *Bargo* Action also arises out of the cyberattack and was also brought by plaintiffs who allege that their personal information was compromised as a result of the cyberattack. These two cases now comprise the consolidated action currently pending before this Court.

After motions to dismiss were filed in both cases, and were granted and denied in part in both cases, the parties proceeded to a February 23, 2021 private mediation by order of this Court. The Parties, through their counsel, have entered into a Settlement Agreement following good faith, arm's-length negotiations and the private mediation overseen by the Honorable Richard J. Billik, Jr. (ret.). The Parties have agreed to settle this consolidated action, pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, and subject to the approval and determination of the Court as to the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the Settlement which, if approved, will result in dismissal of this action with prejudice.

Having reviewed the Settlement Agreement, including the exhibits attached thereto, and all prior proceedings herein, and for good cause shown, it is hereby ordered that Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval is granted as set forth herein.<sup>1</sup>

**1. Class Certification for Settlement Purposes Only.** For settlement purposes only and pursuant to MCR 3.501, the Court provisionally certifies a Settlement Class in this matter defined as follows:

All persons who were sent notice of the Data Breach announced by Beaumont Health on or about April 17, 2020.

The Settlement Class specifically excludes: (i) Beaumont and its officers and directors; (ii) all Settlement Class Members who timely and validly request exclusion from the Settlement Class; (iii) the Judge assigned to evaluate the fairness of this settlement; and (iv) any other Person found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be guilty under criminal law of initiating,

---

<sup>1</sup> Unless otherwise indicated, capitalized terms used herein have the same meaning as in the Settlement Agreement.

causing, aiding or abetting the criminal activity occurrence of the Data Incident or who pleads *nolo contendere* to any such charge.

The Court provisionally finds, for settlement purposes only, that: (a) the Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all Settlement Class Members would be impracticable; (b) there are issues of law and fact common to the Settlement Class; (c) the claims of the Settlement Class Representatives are typical of and arise from the same operative facts and seek similar relief as the claims of the Settlement Class Members; (d) the Settlement Class Representatives and Settlement Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class as the Settlement Class Representatives have no interest antagonistic to or in conflict with the Settlement Class and has retained experienced and competent counsel to prosecute this matter on behalf of the Settlement Class; (e) questions of law or fact common to Settlement Class Members predominate over any questions affecting only individual members; and (f) a class action and class settlement is superior to other methods available for a fair and efficient resolution of this controversy.

**2. Settlement Class Representatives and Settlement Class Counsel.**

Candice Roberts, Linda Rockman, Janice Schuyler, Leanne Smith, Ann Woodson, Samantha Bargo, and Eileen Barker are hereby provisionally designated and appointed as the Settlement Class Representatives. The Court provisionally finds that the Settlement Class Representatives are similarly situated to absent Class Members and therefore typical of the Class and that they will be adequate Settlement Class Representatives.

The Court finds that the following counsel are experienced and adequate counsel and are hereby provisionally designated as Settlement Class Counsel: J. Gerard Stranch IV of Branstetter, Stranch & Jennings, PLLC, Lynn A. Toops of Cohen & Malad LLP, David K. Lietz of Mason Lietz & Klinger, LLP.

**3. Preliminary Settlement Approval.** Upon preliminary review, the Court concludes and finds that the proposed Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate to warrant

providing Notice of the Settlement to the Settlement Class and accordingly is preliminarily approved.

4. **Jurisdiction.** The Court concludes that it has subject matter jurisdiction and personal jurisdiction over the Parties before it for the purposes of the Settlement. Additionally, venue is proper in this court.

5. **Final Approval Hearing.** A Final Approval Hearing shall be held on **WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 27, 2021 at 9:00 a.m.**, in the Circuit Court for the County of Oakland, State of Michigan, to determine, among other things, whether: (a) this matter should be finally certified as a class action for settlement purposes; (b) the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate; (c) the action should be dismissed with prejudice pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement; (d) Settlement Class Members should be bound by the releases set forth in the Settlement Agreement; (e) the motion of Settlement Class Counsel for an award of attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses (the "Fee Request") should be approved; and (f) the motion of the Settlement Class Representatives for Service Awards (the "Service Award Request") should be approved.

Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and a Service Award shall be filed 14 Days prior to Settlement Class Members' Deadlines to object to or exclude themselves from the Settlement Agreement. Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of the Settlement shall be filed with the Court at least 30 Days prior to the Final Approval Hearing. By no later than 14 Days prior to the Final Approval Hearing, the Parties shall file responses, if any, to any objections, and any replies in support of final approval of the Settlement and/or the Service Award Request and Fee Request.

6. **Administration.** The Court appoints Angeion Group as the Claims Administrator, with responsibility for class notice and claims administration and to fulfill the duties of the Claims Administrator set forth in the Settlement Agreement. Defendants shall pay all costs and expenses associated with providing notice to Settlement Class Members including,

but not limited to, the Claims Administrator's fees, as well as the costs associated with administration of the Settlement.

7. **Claims Referee.** The Court appoints Oakland Mediation Center as Claims Referee.

8. **Notice to the Class.** The Notice plan set forth in the Settlement Agreement, and the Claim Form, Short Form Notice, and Long Form Notice attached to the Settlement Agreement as Exhibits A, B, and C satisfy the requirements of MCR 3.501(C), provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances and are hereby approved. Non-material modifications to these Exhibits may be made without further order of the Court. The Claims Administrator is directed to carry out the notice plan in conformance with the Settlement Agreement.

Within **30 days from the date of this Order** (the "Notice Completion Deadline"), the Claims Administrator shall complete the notice plan in the manner set forth in Sections 9 and 14 of the Settlement Agreement.

9. **Findings and Conclusions Concerning Notice.** The Court finds that the form, content, and method of giving notice to the Settlement Class as described in Paragraph 8 of this Order and the Settlement Agreement (including the exhibits thereto): (a) will constitute the best practicable notice to the Settlement Class; (b) are reasonably calculated to apprise Settlement Class Members of the pendency of the action, the terms of the proposed Settlement, and their rights under the proposed Settlement, including but not limited to their rights to object to or exclude themselves from the proposed Settlement and other rights under the terms of the Settlement Agreement; (c) are reasonable and constitute due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all Class Members and other persons entitled to receive notice; and the Court concludes that the Notice Program meets all applicable requirements of law, including MCR 3.501(C), and the Due Process Clause(s) of the United States Constitution and Michigan State Constitution. The Court further finds that the Notice is written in plain language, uses simple terminology, and is designed to be readily understandable by Class Members.

**10. Exclusion from Class.** Any Settlement Class Member who wishes to be excluded from the Settlement Class must mail a written notification of the intent to exclude himself or herself from the Settlement Class to the Claims Administrator at the address provided in the Notice, postmarked no later than **60 Days after the date on which Notice is sent** (the “Opt-Out Period”). The written notification must include the individual’s full name, address, and telephone number; an unequivocal statement that he or she wants to be excluded from the Settlement Class; and the original signature of the individual or a person previously authorized by law, to act on behalf of the individual with respect to the claims asserted in this Action.

The Claims Administrator shall provide the Parties with copies of all completed opt-out notifications, and a final list of all who have timely and validly excluded themselves from the Settlement Class, which Settlement Class Counsel may move to file under seal with the Court no later than **10 Days prior to the Final Approval Hearing.**

Any Settlement Class Member who does not timely and validly exclude herself or himself from the Settlement shall be bound by the terms of the Settlement Agreement. If Final Order and Judgment is entered, any Settlement Class Member who has not submitted a timely, valid written notice of exclusion from the Settlement Class shall be bound by all proceedings, orders, and judgments in this matter, including but not limited to the Release set forth in the Final Order and Judgment, including Settlement Class Members who have previously initiated or who subsequently initiate any litigation against any or all of the Released Parties relating to the claims and transactions released in the Settlement Agreement. All Settlement Class Members who submit valid and timely notices of exclusion from the Settlement Class shall not be entitled to receive any benefits of the Settlement.

**11. Objections and Appearances.** A Settlement Class Member who complies with the requirements of this paragraph may object to the Settlement, the Service Award Request, or the Fee Request.

No Settlement Class Member shall be heard, and no papers, briefs, pleadings, or other documents submitted by any Settlement Class Member shall be received and considered by the

Court, unless the objection is (a) electronically filed with the Court by no later than **60 Days after the date on which Notice is sent** (the “Objection Date”); or (b) mailed first-class postage prepaid to the Clerk of Court, Plaintiffs’ Counsel, and Defendants’ Counsel at the addresses listed in the Notice, and postmarked by no later than the Objection Date, as specified in the Notice. For an objection to be considered by the Court, the objection must also include all of the information set forth in Paragraph 16 of the Settlement Agreement, which is as follows:

- a. the objector’s full name, current address, telephone number, and email address (if any);
- b. the Settlement Class Member’s original signature;
- c. information identifying the objector as a Settlement Class Member, including proof that the objector is within the Settlement Class (e.g., copy of Notice or copy of original notice of the Data Incident), or the approximate date on which the objector was a patient at a Beaumont facility;
- d. a statement of all legal and factual bases for the objection, including any legal support for the objection that the objector believes applicable;
- e. identification of all counsel representing the objector;
- f. whether the objector and/or his or her counsel will appear at the Final Approval Hearing;
- g. the signature of the objector’s duly authorized attorney or other duly authorized representative, along with documentation setting forth such representation;
- h. a list, including case name, court, and docket number, of all other cases in which the objector and/or the objector’s counsel has filed an objection to any proposed class action settlement in the past five (5) years; and
- i. copies of any documents that the objecting Settlement Class Member wishes to submit in support of his or her position.



Any Settlement Class Member who fails to comply with the provisions in this Paragraph may waive and forfeit any and all rights he or she may have to object, and shall be bound by all the terms of the Settlement Agreement, this Order, and by all proceedings, orders, and judgments in this matter, including, but not limited to, the release in the Settlement Agreement if Final Order and Judgment is entered.

Any Settlement Class Member, including a Settlement Class Member who files and serves a written objection, as described above, may appear at the Final Approval Hearing, either in person or through counsel hired at the Settlement Class Member's expense, to object to or comment on the fairness, reasonableness, or adequacy of the Settlement, the Service Award Request, or the Fee Request. If an objecting Settlement Class Member intends to appear at the Final Approval Hearing, either with or without counsel, he or she must also file a notice of appearance with the Court (as well as serve on Settlement Class Counsel and Defendants' Counsel) by the Objection Date. If the objecting Settlement Class Member intends to appear at the Final Approval Hearing through counsel, he or she must also identify the attorney(s) representing the objecting Settlement Class Member who will appear at the Final Approval Hearing and include the attorney(s) name, address, phone number, e-mail address, state bar(s) to which counsel is admitted, as well as associated state bar numbers, and a list identifying all objections such counsel has filed to class action settlements in the past five (5) years, the results of each objection, any court opinions ruling on the objections, and any sanctions issued by a court in connection with objections filed by such attorney. If the objecting Settlement Class Member intends to request the Court for permission to call witnesses at the Final Approval Hearing, the objecting Settlement Class Member must provide a list of any such witnesses together with a brief summary of each witness's expected testimony at least sixty (60) Days before the Final Approval Hearing.

If Final Order and Judgment is entered, any Settlement Class Member who fails to object in the manner prescribed herein shall be deemed to have waived his or her objections and shall be forever barred from making any such objections in this action or in any other proceeding or

from challenging or opposing, or seeking to reverse, vacate, or modify any approval of the Settlement Agreement, the Service Awards Request, or the Fee Request.

**12. Claims Process and Distribution and Allocation Plan.** Settlement Class Representatives and Defendants have created a process for assessing and determining the validity and value of claims and a payment methodology to Settlement Class Members who submit a timely, valid Claim Form. The Court preliminarily approves the plan for remuneration described in Section 3 of the Settlement Agreement and directs that the Claims Administrator effectuate the distribution of Settlement consideration according to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, should the Settlement be finally approved.

Settlement Class Members who qualify for and wish to submit a Claim Form shall do so in accordance with the requirements and procedures specified in the Notice and the Claim Form. If Final Order and Judgment is entered, all Settlement Class Members who qualify for any benefit under the Settlement but fail to submit a claim in accordance with the requirements and procedures specified in the Notice and the Claim Form shall be forever barred from receiving any such benefit, but will in all other respects be subject to and bound by the provisions in the Settlement Agreement, the Release included in that Settlement Agreement, and the Final Order and Judgment.

**13. Termination of Settlement.** This Order shall become null and void and shall be without prejudice to the rights of the Parties, all of whom shall be restored to their respective positions existing as of the date of the execution of the Settlement Agreement if the Settlement is not finally approved by the Court or is terminated in accordance with the Settlement Agreement. In such event, the Settlement and Settlement Agreement shall become null and void and be of no further force and effect, and neither the Settlement Agreement nor the Court's orders, including this Order, relating to the Settlement shall be used or referred to for any purpose whatsoever.

**14. Use of Order.** This Order shall be of no force or effect if Final Order and Judgment is not entered or there is no Effective Date and shall not be construed or used as an admission, concession, or declaration by or against Defendants of any fault, wrongdoing, breach,

liability, or the certifiability of any class. Nor shall this Order be construed or used as an admission, concession, or declaration by or against the Settlement Class Representatives or any other Settlement Class Member that his or her claim lacks merit or that the relief requested is inappropriate, improper, unavailable, or as a waiver by any Party of any defense or claim he, she, or it may have in this litigation or in any other lawsuit.

**15. Stay of Proceedings.** Except as necessary to effectuate this Order, all proceedings and deadlines in this matter are stayed and suspended pending the Final Approval Hearing and issuance of the Final Order and Judgment, or until further order of this Court.

**16. Continuance of Hearing.** The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the Final Approval Hearing and related deadlines without further written notice to the Settlement Class. If the Court alters any of those dates or times, the revised dates and times shall be posted on the website maintained by the Claims Administrator.

**17. Summary of Deadlines.** The preliminarily approved Settlement shall be administered according to its terms pending the Final Approval Hearing. Deadlines arising under the Settlement Agreement and this Order include but are not limited to:

**Notice Completion Deadline:** 30 Days after Preliminary Approval

**Motion for Service Award, Attorneys' Fees and Costs:** 14 Days before the deadline for Class Members to Opt-Out or Object

**Opt-Out Deadline:** 60 Days after the date on which Notice is sent

**Objection Deadline:** 60 Days after the date on which Notice is sent

**Claim Deadline:** 90 Days after the date on which Notice is sent


**Motion for Final Approval:** 30 Days before Final Approval Hearing

**Replies in Support of Final Approval, Service Award and Fee Requests:** 14 Days before Final Approval Hearing

**Final Approval Hearing: WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 27, 2021 AT 9:00 A.M.**  
(approximately 120 Days after Preliminary Approval)

(Preliminary Approval Order)

Dated:



s/ Edward Sosnick  
Hon. Edward Sosnick

VK

Presented by:

**MASON LIETZ & KLINGER LLP**

By: s/David K. Lietz  
David K. Lietz (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
5101 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Suite 305  
Washington, DC 20016  
Phone: (202) 640-1160  
Email: [dlietz@masonllp.com](mailto:dlietz@masonllp.com)

Adam G. Taub (P48703)  
**ADAM G. TAUB & ASSOCIATES  
CONSUMER LAW GROUP PLC**  
17200 West 10 Mile Road, Suite 200  
Southfield, MI 48075  
Phone: (248) 746-3790  
Email: [adamgtaub@clgplc.net](mailto:adamgtaub@clgplc.net)

Marc E. Lipton (P43877)  
Kyle J. Kelly (P69863)  
**LIPTON LAW**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
18930 W. 10 Mile Rd., #3000  
Southfield, MI 48075  
Email: [marc@liptonlaw.com](mailto:marc@liptonlaw.com)

J. Gerard Stranch, IV (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
**BRANSTETTER, STRANCH  
& JENNINGS, PLLC**  
223 Rosa L. Parks Avenue, Suite 200  
Nashville, TN 37203  
Email: [gerards@bsjfirm.com](mailto:gerards@bsjfirm.com)

Samuel Strauss (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Austin Doan (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
**TURKE & STRAUSS, LLP**

**MCDONALD HOPKINS PLC**

By: s/Christopher G. Dean  
Michael G. Latiff (P51263)  
39533 Woodward Avenue  
Suite 318  
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304  
Phone: (248) 220-1351  
Email: [mlatiff@mcdonaldhopkins.com](mailto:mlatiff@mcdonaldhopkins.com)

**MCDONALD HOPKINS LLC**  
Christopher Dean (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
600 Superior Avenue E., Suite 2100  
Cleveland, OH 44114  
Phone: (216) 430-2045  
Email: [cdean@mcdonaldhopkins.com](mailto:cdean@mcdonaldhopkins.com)

**MCDONALD HOPKINS LLC**  
Christopher F. Allen (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
300 North LaSalle, Suite 1400  
Chicago, IL 60654  
Phone: (312) 280-0111  
Email: [callen@mcdonaldhopkins.com](mailto:callen@mcdonaldhopkins.com)

*Attorneys for Defendant*

613 Williamson Street, Suite 201  
Madison, WI 53703  
Email: [sam@trukestrauss.com](mailto:sam@trukestrauss.com)  
Email: [austind@turkestrauss.com](mailto:austind@turkestrauss.com)

Lynn A. Toops (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
**COHEN & MALAD, LLP**  
One Indiana Square, Suite 1400  
Indianapolis, IN 46204  
Email: [ltoops@cohenandmalad.com](mailto:ltoops@cohenandmalad.com)

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*